

Examining the 21st Century Skills Teaching Levels of Teacher Candidates

Abdulkadir Kırbaş 🔟 Atatürk University, Türkiye

Mesut Bulut 匝 Atatürk University, Türkiye

To cite this article:

Kirbas, A. & Bulut, M. (2024). Examining the 21st century skills teaching levels of teacher candidates. International Journal of Technology in Education (IJTE), 7(3), 434-455. https://doi.org/10.46328/ijte.800

The International Journal of Technology in Education (IJTE) is a peer-reviewed scholarly online journal. This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Authors alone are responsible for the contents of their articles. The journal owns the copyright of the articles. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of the research material. All authors are requested to disclose any actual or potential conflict of interest including any financial, personal or other relationships with other people or organizations regarding the submitted work.



EV NO 58 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.



2024, Vol. 7, No. 3, 434-455

Examining the 21st Century Skills Teaching Levels of Teacher Candidates

Abdulkadir Kırbaş, Mesut Bulut

Article Info Abstract Article History In this study, the 21st-century skills teaching levels of teacher candidates were Received: assessed based on factors such as gender, age, department of study, daily internet 17 October 2023 usage, the reason for use, family income, residence, and whether or not they had Accepted: previously heard of 21st-century skills. The research data was gathered using the 28 April 2024 ten-item 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale, which falls into the following categories: problem-solving, teamwork, innovation, and the benefits of technology Özyurt (2020). Frequency, percentage, t-test for independent groups, Mann Keywords Whitney U test, One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), and Kruskal Wallis H Turkish and Turkish test were used to analyze the study's data. The study's findings showed that Turkish Language and Literature and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates' 21st-century skills Teacher candidates teaching levels were at the level of slightly proficient in all areas, including 21st-century skills Teaching levels problem-solving, teamwork, innovation, and technology use. It has been found Examine that there are differences in the 21st-century skills teaching levels of teacher candidates based on their gender, department of study, residence, and prior understanding of these skills. They also differ based on whether or not they have taken courses on these topics throughout their undergraduate education. It was determined that there was no difference in the 21st-century skills teaching levels of teacher candidates based on factors such as family economic status, age, daily internet usage, and purpose.

Introduction

In the twenty-first century, societies are competing in every sphere. To raise social welfare levels, establish a sustainable sociocultural structure, raise economic standards, and absorb cultural values, societies require individuals with the knowledge and skills of the modern era. The 21st-century demands and expectations have rendered the abilities people possessed in the 20th century—such as communication, business life, citizenship, and self-actualization—outdated and insufficient (Dede, 2010). With specific abilities, people can adjust to the challenges posed by innovations as we go from the 20th to the 21st century and experience faster globalization (Greiff et al., 2014; Çelebi, Sevinç: 2019). The fast advancements in information and communication technology are reflected in the changes in these required qualifications and skills. Both the individual's adjustment to society and the good of society will depend on how well they adjust to this shift. Effective individual training is unquestionably one of the most significant strategies to accomplish this. Education is crucial for giving people the skills that are needed for their age, boosting the standard of welfare in society, fostering social and economic progress, and producing people who influence the dynamics of society. 21st-century talents are the skills that

people need to learn by age and which are typically expressed as such through schooling. Valtonen et al., 2021; Uyar, Çiçek: 2021; Anagün, Atalay, Kılıç, & Yaşar, 2016; Silva, 2009). According to Anagün, Atalay, Kılıç, and Yaşar (2016), those who possess these abilities are assumed to lead more qualified lives, solve difficulties with ease, and succeed by accurately assessing the occurrences in their social and professional lives.

The information and digital society of the twenty-first century presents people with a greater number of technological, economic, social, and cultural challenges. It is required of people to lead fulfilling lives in their personal, professional, intellectual, and social spheres and to adjust to changes in their environment by either reacting positively or adversely. In this situation, in addition to their fundamental skills, they must possess high-level competencies and skills to keep up with technological advancements. They must also be able to choose, analyze, and assess information from the rapidly growing body of knowledge, use it in their daily lives, and turn it into products. These skills and competencies that individuals must have in the information society are called 21st-century skills (Atalay, Yaşar, Kılıç & Anagün, 2016; Çelebi, Sevinç: 2019; Ozturk, 2023).

The ability to recognize the drastic and quick changes that are taking place in all facets of life, to adapt and change with them, to keep up with the rapid advancement of technology and information, to obtain accurate information by applying critical thinking skills to analyze data, and to apply this information in one's professional life are all considered 21st-century skills. It ought to be applicable in day-to-day activities. (Valtonen et al., 2021; Anagün et al., 2016; Silva, 2009). These abilities, which are referred to as 21st-century capabilities, are 21st-century abilities when the literature is reviewed. Various international organizations have given different definitions to the Partnership for 21st Century Learning (P21), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), the International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE), and the European Union (EU). Collaboration, communication, information, and communication technology literacy, creativity, critical thinking, problem-solving, and social and cultural competencies constitute the common aspect of these definitions (Voogt and Roblin, 2012; P21, 2015; Valtonen et al., 2021, Aktas, 2022). These are not the only 21st-century abilities, though; others are hard to categorize, call for education and experience, and involve multidisciplinary skills (Erten, 2019; Aktas, 2022). Once more, the focus of these abilities is on being an engaged citizen as opposed to a decent citizen (Anagün et al., 2016; Ananiadou and Claro, 2009; Özyurt, 2020). The 21st-century skills framework is widely acknowledged by organisations in this sector as one of the most thoroughly investigated in the global literature on skills and competence (Beers, 2011; P21, 2009; P21, 2015, Brown, 2018; Cansoy, 2018; Lamb, Maire; and Doecke, 2017 Aktaş, 2022). According to Partnership for 21st Century abilities (P21, 2009), these are the talents that people use to perform complex tasks and think and communicate. The Partnership for 21st Century Talents has categorized these talents into three main groups. This classification is shown in Table 1 (P21, 2009; P21, 2015; Aktaş, 2022).

Kennedy and Odell (2014) define 21st-century skills as critical thinking, universal awareness, creativity, technology, and media literacy. Lai and Viering (2012) define 21st-century talents as metacognitive skills, collaboration, critical thinking, motivation, and creativity. According to him, it includes abilities like productivity and production. Furthermore, according to varying scholars, 21st-century talents encompass cognitive abilities like creativity, critical thinking, and problem-solving as well as interpersonal abilities including teamwork,

communication, cultural sensitivity, social skills, and problem-solving abilities. Personal growth, self-control, flexibility, time management, lifelong learning, and self-regulation are all considered internal talents (Raizen & Ripley, 2010; Binkley, Erstad, Herman, & Kylonen, 2012; Soland, Hamilton, & Stecher, 2013; Yalçın, 2018). Apart from these definitions, some researchers have defined these skills as a set of related competencies that are thought to be taught in schools to help students succeed in the modern world. These competencies include problem-solving, collaboration, digital literacy, critical and creative thinking, and critical and creative thinking (Motallebzadeh, Ahmadi, and Hosseini 2018). ATCS has classified 21st-century skills into four categories. According to this classification:

- 1. Thinking Ways Category: Critical thinking, creativity, problem-solving, decision-making, and skill acquisition are all important.
- 2. Working Ways Category: Abilities in cooperation, communication, and teamwork.

т

- 3. Study Tools Category: talents related to information literacy and information communication technologies
- Existence in the Universe Category: Consists of social responsibility, individual and life skills, and life and career skills. (Griffin, McGaw, and Care, 2012; Binkley, Erstad, Herman, Raizen, Ripley, and Rumble, 2010; Özyurt, 2020).

	Table 1. 21st-century Skills	
Media, technology, and	Learning and innovation skills	Skills for life and work
information skills		
knowledge of information	Creativity and renewal	Flexibility and adaptability
media literacy	Critical thinking and problem-solving	Enterprise and self-management
Proficiency in information and	Communication and Collaboration	Social and intercultural skills
communication technologies		
		Leadership and responsibility

Table	1.	21st-century	Skills
uoie		215t contary	ORING

Giving people 21st-century skills has become a basic human right in the century we live in (P21, 2008; Autor, Levy, and Murnane, 2003; Özyurt, 2020). Because people who possess 21st-century abilities succeed in both their professional and academic endeavors (Ball, Joyce, and Anderson-Butcher, 2016). Because of this, for people to succeed in school, they must develop these skills at a very young age (Louis, 2012). According to Saavedra and Opfer (2012), acquiring these abilities is crucial for individuals to remain competitive in the global workforce (Al-shummarani & Nasr, 2022; Altawalbeh, 2023; Amaniampong & Hartmann, 2023; Marpa, 2021; Meylani, 2024; Syafii, Kusnawan, & Syukroni, 2020). Numerous authorities in the domains of business, politics, and education concur with this viewpoint (Rotherdam and Willingham, 2009; Walser, 2008, Özyurt, 2020).

The primary cause of the mandated need for these abilities is the revolutionary shift in technology (Özyurt, 2020). Due to the intense rivalry in the global market, this shift has a significant impact on society's cultural life. It raises the necessity for people to possess 21st-century skills in the workplace (Kellner, 2000 and P21, 2019). People should also possess 21st-century skills, which include the ability to correctly read and understand information, to keep up with the times by continuously bettering themselves, and to act appropriately when faced with various issues or developments (Walser, 2008).

Like in other fields, education has seen recent advancements that have led to shifting expectations, which have necessitated the formation of new understandings and approaches (Birgin and Gürbüz 2008). Nissim, Weissblueth, Scott-Webber, and Amar (2016) claim that for the past 100 years, only cognitive development was the goal of education, with reading, writing, and math being deemed enough subjects for children. But in the dynamic world of today, students are viewed as active contributors to the creation of knowledge rather than as passive recipients of it. The development of students as a whole is now considered the foundation in this regard. Thus, the twenty-first century. Teachers contend that for students to succeed, they need a variety of knowledge, abilities, and dispositions and that to give them these skills, the entire educational system needs to undergo a mental transformation (Smith and Hu 2013; Bedir 2019; Cansoy 2018; Gömleksiz, Sinan, and Doğan, 2019).

Qualified teacher education is the key to raising people with the skills needed in this age of communication and technology (Özer and Gelen, 2008; Zhao, 2009; Erdoğan and Eker, 2020). In this sense, the relevance and significance of higher education institutions' education faculties have changed in preparing future teachers. Education faculties are in charge of preparing future educators for the twenty-first century by providing them with the abilities they need to instruct these students (Orhan-Göksun, 2016Given This, it becomes clear how important it is to give teacher candidates—who will become tomorrow's educators and teachers as well as today's education faculty students—21st-century abilities during their pre-service teacher education (Erdoğan, Eker: 2020). In this context, the report (P21 and AACTE, 2010; Erdoğan and Eker, 2020) prepared in collaboration with the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education [AACTE] and P21 stressed the integration of 21st-century skills in teacher education and the significance and necessity of providing these skills to teacher candidates who will be the future educators.

Qualified teacher education is the key to raising people with the skills needed in this age of communication and technology (Özer and Gelen, 2008; Zhao, 2009; Erdoğan and Eker, 2020). In this regard, the value and significance of higher education institutions' education faculties have changed in the preparation of prospective teachers. Education faculties are in charge of preparing future educators for the twenty-first century by providing them with the abilities they need to instruct these students (Orhan-Göksun, 2016). In this context, the importance of providing 21st-century skills in pre-service teacher education to teacher candidates, who will be today's education faculty students and future educators and teachers, emerges (Erdoğan, Eker: 2020). In this context, the report prepared in partnership with the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education [AACTE] and P21 emphasized the integration of 21st-century skills in teacher educators of the future (P21 and AACTE, 2010; Erdoğan and Eker, 2020). To illustrate the current state of affairs and integrate 21st-century skills into the educational process at every level, an analysis must be conducted of how pre-service teacher education programs reflect 21st-century skills and the degree to which teacher candidates is mostly the responsibility of higher education institutions, particularly colleges of education that train future educators (Boe, 2013; Washer, 2007).

As the educators of the next generation, teacher candidates hold a significant position in the educational system. (Latham and Faulkner, 2016). This is why the twenty-first-century interests aspiring educators. It is important to

create and maintain programs that will aid in their skill improvement (Pa-also, 2017). Teacher applicants will find it simpler to adjust to sudden changes as a result. Since only educators with formal training can offer their students a supportive learning environment. According to Kilmen, Akın Kösterelioğlu, and Kösterelioğlu (2007), it is crucial that there be qualified teachers and that they have ongoing support to deliver skilled instruction.

Educational practitioners will guarantee that graduates are prepared to utilize these abilities in their professional lives by offering effective training, particularly in higher education (Kivunja 2014). Furthermore, it will be challenging to fulfill the objectives of training and education with teacher candidates who lack or are inadequate in these areas. Teachers and aspiring teachers alike must comprehend the 21st century in this framework. Finding out how much they adopt these talents and how attentive they are to the peculiarities of students is crucial. Since the year is the 21st century. Only teachers possessing these abilities will be able to raise students with these competencies (Kozikoğlu & Altunova 2018; Gömleksiz, Sinan, & Doğan, 2019).

Aim of the Study

The purpose of this study was to evaluate Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates' proficiency in teaching 21st-century competencies. The following sub-problems were investigated to provide solutions based on the study's problem description.

- 1. Do Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates' levels of instruction in 21st-century skills differ significantly based on gender?
- 2. Does the age variable significantly affect the Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates' proficiency in teaching 21st-century skills?
- 3. Does the department/major science variable significantly affect the teaching levels of 21st-century competencies for Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates?
- 4. Do Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates' levels of instruction in 21st-century skills and the family economic level variable differ significantly?
- 5. Does the geographical variable significantly affect the 21st-century abilities that Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates are teaching?
- 6. Do Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates differ significantly in terms of their internet browsing habits and proficiency in teaching 21st-century skills?
- 7. Is there a notable distinction between the reason Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates browse the internet and their level of proficiency in teaching 21st-century skills?

Method

The sample group, data gathering method, study model, and data analysis are all included in this part.

Model of the Research

One quantitative research approach, the survey model, was used to prepare this study, which looks at the 21st-

century skills teaching levels of Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates. Using studies on a sample chosen inside a universe, the scanning model allows for the quantitative description of patterns, attitudes, or beliefs across the universe (Creswell 2017). Scanning methods are studies in which facts, events, attitudes, and opinions are tried to be described as they are (Tanriöğen, 2014). Survey research; These are studies in which the characteristics of the participants such as opinions, interests, skills, abilities, and attitudes regarding a subject are determined and are generally conducted on larger samples than other studies (Büyüköztürk, Kılıç Çakmak, Akgün, Karadeniz and Demirel 2016). In such studies, it is essential to keep the sample large. Inferences about the universe are made through the data obtained from the sample.

Sample of the Research

The research sample group comprises 287 teacher candidates specializing in Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature, studying at various grade levels at the Atatürk University Kazım Karabekir Faculty of Education during the 2022–2023 academic year. Table 2 lists the demographic features of candidate teachers.

Data Collection Tool

The data of this study, which examined the 21st-century skills teaching levels of Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates, was collected with the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale (Özyurt. 2020). The scale consists of 10 items and three factors in the categories of the usefulness of technology, collaboration and innovation, and problem-solving. The sub-factors of the scale are named as the benefit of technology (3 items), collaboration (3 items), innovation, and problem-solving (4 items). Cronbach's Alpha values for the sub-factors of the scale were calculated as .81 for the benefit of technology, .75 for collaboration, and .83 for innovation and problem-solving, respectively. This three-factor structure explains 68% of the variance. The scale is a 7-point Likert type and the rating is determined as "I am completely adequate (7)" and "I am not at all adequate" (1). The highest score that can be obtained from the scale is 70 and the lowest score is 7. There are no adverse (negative) items on the scale.

Analysis of Data

Six distinct statistical analyses were used in the study's data analysis, and the SPSS for Windows 22.00 statistical package program was used on the computer to perform these analyses. Frequency, percentage, t-test for independent groups, Mann Whitney U test, One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), and Kruskal Wallis H test were used to analyze the study's data.

Research Ethics

Before the researchers started the study and collected the data, ethical permission for the study was obtained with the decision numbered 05 and dated 11.04.2023 of the Educational Sciences Unit Ethics Committee of Atatürk University Social and Human Sciences Ethics Committee. In the study, the researchers prepared the research and

publication ethics directive published by (the Higher Education Council, 2023) and acted according to this directive at every stage of the study.

Results

Information about the descriptive characteristics of the Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature Teacher Candidates included in the research is given in Table 2.

Male 69 2 Age 18-20 54 1 21-25 206 7 26-30 14 7 31 years and over 13 7 study at university Department of Turkish Language and Literature Education 141 4 study at university Department of Turkish Education 146 5 Internet browsing time 1 hours 23 3 per day 2 hours 97 3 3 hours or more 54 1 Purpose of using the Access to information 62 2 Internet Fun 48 1 Listening/watching video/music etc. applications 81 2 Spending free time 60 2 2 Rew sites and information 28 2 Shopping 8 3 Family income level 8500 TL 131 4 8500-15000 TL 131 4 3 3 place of residence Bay	Variable	Options	n	%
Age 18-20 54 1 21-25 206 7 26-30 14 7 31 years and over 13 7 Department/major of study at university Department of Turkish Language and Literature Education 141 4 Department browsing time per day 1 Department of Turkish Education 146 5 113 3 3 7 3 7 3 2 hours 97 3 3 7 3 3 hours or more 54 1 1 4 4 Purpose of using the Internet Access to information 62 2 2 Purpose of using the Internet Access to information 63 2 2 2 Spending free time 60 2 2 1 3 3 Family income level 8500 TL 131 4 3 3 2 3 1 3 place of residence Bay 63 2 1	Gender	Female	218	76.0
21-25 206 7 $26-30$ 14 31 <		Male	69	24.0
	Age	18-20	54	18.8
31 years and over 13 Department/major of study at universityDepartment of Turkish Language and Literature Education 141 4 31 gears and over 141 4 4 31 geartment of Turkish Education 146 5 Internet browsing time per day 1 hours 23 3 2 hours 97 3 3 hours or more 54 113 3 3 hours or more 54 113 3 Purpose of using the InternetAccess to information 62 2 2 Fun 48 1 Listening/watching video/music etc. applications 81 2 3 Shopping 8 3 Family income level 8500 TL 131 4 8500 TL 110 3 15000 - 25000 TL 32 110 25000 TL and above 14 4 1200 77 2 141 4 44 31 44 31 41 45 32 113 44 45 32 51000 TL and above 14 45 77 2 141 44 44 41 45 77 77 2 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 72 77 72 77 72 77 72 77 72		21-25	206	71.8
Department/major of study at universityDepartment of Turkish Language and Literature Education1414Department of Turkish Education1465Internet browsing time per day1 hours2332 hours9733 hours11333 hours or more541Purpose of using the InternetAccess to information622InternetFun481Listening/watching video/music etc. applications812Spending free time602News sites and information289Family income level8500 TL1318500-15000 TL131415000-25000 TL32125000 TL and above149place of residence DistrictBay632Town62City1414Having heard of 21st- 		26-30	14	4.9
study at universityDepartment of Turkish Education1465Internet browsing time per day1 hours233per day2 hours9733 hours11333 hours or more541Purpose of using the InternetAccess to information622InternetFun481Listening/watching video/music etc. applications812Spending free time602News sites and information289Shopping82Shopping82Shopping82Jouro-25000 TL131425000 TL and above144place of residenceBay632Town62City1414Having heard of 21st- century skills beforeYes165No1224		31 years and over	13	4.5
Internet browsing time per day 1 hours 23 3 2 hours 97 3 3 hours 113 3 3 hours or more 54 1 Purpose of using the Internet Access to information 62 2 Internet Fun 48 1 Listening/watching video/music etc. applications 81 2 Spending free time 60 2 News sites and information 28 9 Shopping 8 2 Shopping 8 2 Internet 8500 TL 110 3 Shopping 8 2 1 Shopping 8 2 1 Iotoo-25000 TL 110 3 3 Iplace of residence Bay 63 2 Town 6 2 2 City 141 4 Having heard of 21st- Yes 165 5 century skills before No 12	Department/major of	Department of Turkish Language and Literature Education	141	49.1
per day $ \frac{2 \text{ hours}}{3 \text{ hours or more}} $ 97 3 3 hours or more 54 11 Purpose of using the Access to information 62 2 Internet Fun 48 1 Listening/watching video/music etc. applications 81 2 Spending free time 60 2 News sites and information 28 9 Shopping 8 2 Shopping 8 2 Shopping 8 2 Isooo-15000 TL 131 4 8500-15000 TL 110 3 15000-25000 TL 32 1 25000 TL and above 14 4 place of residence Bay 63 2 District 77 2 2 4 Having heard of 21st- Yes 165 5 century skills before No 122 4	study at university	Department of Turkish Education	146	50.9
3 hours 113 3 3 hours or more 54 1 Purpose of using the Access to information 62 2 Internet Fun 48 1 Listening/watching video/music etc. applications 81 2 Spending free time 60 2 News sites and information 28 9 Shopping 8 1 Family income level 8500 TL 131 4 8500-15000 TL 131 4 8500-15000 TL 32 1 25000 TL and above 14 4 place of residence Bay 63 2 Town 6 1 1 District 77 2 1 City 141 4 Having heard of 21st- Yes 165 5 century skills before No 122 4	Internet browsing time	1 hours	23	8.0
3 hours or more 54 1 Purpose of using the InternetAccess to information 62 2 Fun 48 1 Listening/watching video/music etc. applications 81 2 Spending free time 60 2 News sites and information 28 9 Shopping 8 2 Family income level 8500 TL 131 4 8500 TL 110 3 $15000-25000$ TL 110 32 1 25000 TL and above 14 4 place of residence Bay 63 2 Town 6 2 City 141 4 Having heard of 21st- century skills beforeYes 165 5	per day	2 hours	97	33.8
Purpose of using the InternetAccess to information 62 2 Fun481Listening/watching video/music etc. applications 81 2 Spending free time 60 2 News sites and information 28 2 Shopping 8 2 Family income level 8500 TL 131 4 8500 TL 110 3 5000 TL 110 32 1 25000 TL 32 1 25000 TL 32 1 25000 TL 32 1 25000 TL and above 14 4 place of residenceBay 63 2 7 Town 6 2 61 77 2 7 77 77 77 <		3 hours	113	39.4
InternetFun481Listening/watching video/music etc. applications812Spending free time602News sites and information289Shopping82Family income level8500 TL13148500-15000 TL110315000-25000 TL32125000 TL and above144place of residenceBay632Town62District772City1414Having heard of 21st- century skills beforeYes1655		3 hours or more	54	18.8
Listening/watching video/music etc. applications812Spending free time602News sites and information282Shopping82Family income level8500 TL13148500-15000 TL110315000-25000 TL32125000 TL and above144place of residenceBay632Town62City1414Having heard of 21st- century skills beforeYes1655No1224	Purpose of using the	Access to information	62	21.6
Spending free time 60 2 News sites and information 28 9 Shopping 8 2 Family income level 8500 TL 131 4 8500-15000 TL 131 4 8500-15000 TL 110 3 15000-25000 TL 32 1 25000 TL and above 14 4 place of residence Bay 63 2 Town 6 2 District 77 2 City 141 4 Having heard of 21st- Yes 165 5 century skills before No 122 4	Internet	Fun	48	16.7
News sites and information 28 9 Shopping 8 3 Family income level 8500 TL 131 4 8500-15000 TL 131 4 8500-15000 TL 110 3 15000-25000 TL 32 1 25000 TL and above 14 4 place of residence Bay 63 2 Town 6 2 District 77 2 City 141 4 Having heard of 21st- Yes 165 5 century skills before No 122 4		Listening/watching video/music etc. applications	81	28.2
Shopping 8 2 Family income level 8500 TL 131 4 8500-15000 TL 110 3 15000-25000 TL 32 1 25000 TL and above 14 4 place of residence Bay 63 2 Town 6 3 2 District 77 2 City 141 4 Having heard of 21st- Yes 165 5 No 122 4		Spending free time	60	20.9
Family income level 8500 TL 131 4 8500-15000 TL 110 3 15000-25000 TL 32 1 25000 TL and above 14 4 place of residence Bay 63 2 Town 6 2 District 77 2 City 141 4 Having heard of 21st- century skills before Yes 165 5		News sites and information	28	9.8
8500-15000 TL 110 3 15000-25000 TL 32 1 25000 TL and above 14 4 place of residence Bay 63 2 Town 6 2 District 77 2 City 141 4 Having heard of 21st- century skills before No 122 4		Shopping	8	2.8
15000-25000 TL 32 1 25000 TL and above 14 4 place of residence Bay 63 2 Town 6 5 District 77 2 City 141 4 Having heard of 21st- century skills before Yes 165 5 No 122 4	Family income level	8500 TL	131	45.6
25000 TL and above14place of residenceBayBay63Town6District77City141Having heard of 21st- century skills beforeYesNo122		8500-15000 TL	110	38.3
place of residenceBay632Town62District772City1414Having heard of 21st- century skills beforeYes1655No1224		15000-25000 TL	32	11.1
Town6District77City141Having heard of 21st- century skills beforeYesNo122		25000 TL and above	14	4.9
District772City1414Having heard of 21st- century skills beforeYes1655No1224	place of residence	Bay	63	22.0
City1414Having heard of 21st- century skills beforeYes16555No12244		Town	6	2.1
Having heard of 21st- century skills beforeYes165551224		District	77	26.8
century skills before No 122 4		City	141	49.1
-	Having heard of 21st-	Yes	165	57.5
Knowing what 21st-Yes 152 5	century skills before	No	122	42.5
	Knowing what 21st-	Yes	152	53.0

Table 2. Descriptive Characteristics of Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature Teacher Candidates (N=287)

Variable	Options	n	%
century skills are	No	135	47.0
Taking courses related	Yes	91	31.7
to 21st-century skills in	No	196	68.3
undergraduate			
education			

When the table is examined, 76% of Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates are women, 24% are men, 18.8% are 18-20 years old, 71.8% are 21-25 years old, 4.9% are 26-30 years old, 4.5 of them are aged 31 and over. 49.1% of the participants are studying in the Department of Turkish Language and Literature Education, and 50.9% are studying in the Department of Turkish Education. 8% of teacher candidates spend 1 hour on the Internet daily, 33.8% spend 2 hours on the Internet, 39.4% spend 3 hours on the Internet, and 18.8% spend 3 hours or more on the Internet. 21.6% of the participants use the internet for accessing information, 16.7% for entertainment, 28.2% for listening/watching videos/music, etc. applications, 2.8% for shopping, 20.9% for free time, and 9.8% for news uses sites and for information purposes. Of the teacher candidates, the monthly income of the family of 45.6% is 8500 TL, 38.3% is 8500-15000 TL, 11.1% is 15000-25000 TL, and 4.9% is 25000 TL or more. 22% of the participants live in the village, 2.1% in the town, 26.8% in the district, and 49.1% in the city. In addition, 57.5% of teacher candidates have heard of 21st-century skills before, 53% are knowledgeable about what 21st-century skills are, and 31.7% have taken courses related to 21st-century skills in their undergraduate education.

The arithmetic mean and standard deviation of the scores received by Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates from the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale are given in Table 3. The 21st-century skills teaching scale has three arithmetic means: 5.51 ± 0.95 for Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates for the benefit of technology dimension, 5.73 ± 0.92 for the cooperation dimension, 5.25 ± 0.96 for the innovation and problem-solving dimension, and 5.51 ± 0.95 for the total score. An average of 5.47 ± 0.84 was discovered. It is evident from these results that the scale's scoring ranges from 1 to 7 that Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates fall into the "Somewhat Proficient" category across the board for the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale.

leaching Scale					
\overline{X}	SD				
5.51	.95				
5.73	.92				
5.25	.96				
5.47	.84				
	5.73 5.25				

 Table 3. Arithmetic Mean and Standard Deviation of Teacher Candidates' Scores from the 21st Century Skills

 Teaching Scale

Table 4 compares the scores of Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates on the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale based on their gender.

		Gender				
Dimension	Gender	Ν	\overline{X}	SD	t	р
The benefit of the Technology	Female	218	5.40	.983	-3.869	.000
dimension	Male	69	5.84	.749	5.007	.000
Collaboration dimension	Female	218	5.66	.983	-2.771	.006
	Male	69	5.94	.649	-2.771	.000
Innovation and Problem Solving	Female	218	5.15	.968	-3.172	.002
dimension	Male	69	5.57	.879	-3.172	.002
21st Century Skills Teaching Scale	Female	218	5.38	.878	-3.872	.000
Total score	Male	69	5.76	.652	5.072	.000

Table 4. Comparison of 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale Scores of Teacher Candidates according to their Gender

t-test results for the benefit of technology dimension, collaboration dimension, innovation and problem-solving dimension, and the total score of the 21st-century skills teaching scale of the Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates according to their gender. All t values were found to be significant at the p<0.05 significance level. When the table is examined, it is seen that male teacher candidates' and female teacher candidates' 21st-century skills teaching scale's benefit of technology dimension, collaboration dimension, innovation, and problem-solving dimension, and 21st-century skills teaching scale total score averages are higher.

As a result, it can be said that male teacher candidates have better 21st-century skills than female teacher candidates. A comparison of the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale scores of Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates according to their ages is given in Table 5.

Dimension	Age	Ν	\overline{X}	SD	KW	р
The benefit of the	18-20	54	5.47	1.059		
Technology	21-25	206	5.48	.923	3.963	.265
dimension	26-30	14	5.69	.685		
	31 years and over	13	5.90	1.100		
Collaboration	18-20	54	5.74	.996	3.075	
dimension	21-25	206	5.70	.911		.380
	26-30	14	5.74	.656		
	31 years and over	13	6.00	1.054		
Innovation and	18-20	54	5.11	1.051		
Problem Solving	21-25	206	5.24	.926	6 151	104
dimension	26-30	14	5.45	.957	6.151	.104
	31 years and over	13	5.73	1.082		
21st Century Skills	18-20	54	5.41	.936	5.807	.121

 Table 5. Comparison of 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale Scores of Turkish and Turkish Language and

 Literature Teacher Candidates according to their Ages

Dimension	Age	Ν	\overline{X}	SD	KW	р
Teaching Scale	21-25	206	5.45	.816		
Total score	26-30	14	5.61	.703		
	31 years and over	13	5.86	.994		

At the p>0.05 significance level, the findings of the Kruskal Wallis H test concerning the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale scores of Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates based only on their ages were deemed to be unimportant. According to their ages, the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale scores of the Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates who took part in the study did not differ from one another.

Table 6 shows a comparison of the Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates' 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale scores by department/major at the university.

Science at the University							
Dimension	Major	N	\overline{X}	SD	t	р	
The benefit of the	Turkish language	141	5.29	1.021	-3.919	.000	
Technology dimension	Turkish	146	5.72	.823	5.919	.000	
Collaboration dimension	Turkish language	141	5.50	1.026	-4.108	.000	
	Turkish	146	5.94	.751	4.100	.000	
Innovation and Problem-	Turkish language	141	5.05	1.017	-3.438	.0001	
Solving dimension	Turkish	146	5.44	.869	-3.430	.0001	
21st Century Skills Teaching	Turkish language	141	5.26	.918	-4.249	.000	
Scale Total score	Turkish	146	5.67	.712	т. 2 Т)	.000	

Table 6. Teacher Applicants' Results on the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale by Department and Major

Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates benefit from the technology dimension, collaboration dimension, innovation dimension, and problem-solving dimension of the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale, according to the department or major science in which they study at the university. The t-test revealed that all t-values were significant at the p<0.05 significance level concerning the scale's overall score. Examining the table, it is evident that the teacher candidates in the Turkish Language and Literature Education Department have higher average scores on the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale overall and in the areas of cooperation, innovation, problem-solving, and the benefit of technology.

Therefore, compared to the teacher candidates of the Department of Turkish Language and Literature Education, it can be claimed that the teacher candidates of the Department of Turkish Education possess superior 21st-century competencies. At the p>0.05 significance level, all of the Kruskal Wallis H test results about the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale scores of Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates about their daily internet surfing time were determined to be non-significant. This result indicates that there is no difference in the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale scores based on daily internet surfing time between the Turkish and Turkish

Language and Literature teacher candidates who took part in the study.

Dimension	Online Time	Ν	\overline{X}	SD	KW	р
The benefit of the	1 hour	23	5.26	1.155		
Technology	2 hours	97	5.56	.991	1 (12	(57
dimension	3 hours	113	5.46	.966	1.612	.657
	3 hours or more	54	5.60	.712		
Collaboration	1 hour	23	5.41	1.210		
dimension	2 hours	97	5.86	.913	4 509	212
	3 hours	113	5.67	.899	4.508	.212
	3 hours or more	54	5.74	.818		
Innovation and	1 hour	23	5.12	.950		
Problem Solving	2 hours	97	5.30	1.053	1.000	575
dimension	3 hours	113	5.23	.935	1.988	.575
	3 hours or more	54	5.26	.869		
21st Century Skills	1 hour	23	5.25	1.005		
Teaching Scale	2 hours	97	5.55	.894	2.176	.537
Total score	3 hours	113	5.43	.828		
	3 hours or more	54	5.51	.699		

 Table 7. Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature Teacher Candidates' 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale

 Scores based on How Much Time They Spend Online Each Day

Table 8 compares the Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates' 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale scores based on why they use the Internet.

Table 8. Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature Teacher Candidates' Ratings on the 21st Century SkillsTeaching Scale based on Why They Use the Internet

Dimension	Purpose for Internet Use	N	\overline{X}	SD	KW	р
The benefit of the	Access to information	62	5.60	.942		
Technology	Fun	48	5.35	.879		
dimension	Listening/watching video/music	81	5.60	.795		215
	etc. applications				7.080	.215
	Spending free time	60	5.43	1.047		
	News sites and information	28	5.58	1.246	_	
	Shopping	8	5.04	.863	_	
Collaboration	Access to information	62	5.84	.884		
dimension	Fun	48	5.69	.803	6.374	.271
	Listening/watching video/music	81	5.73	.815	_	
	etc. applications					

Dimension	Purpose for Internet Use	Ν	\overline{X}	SD	KW	р
	Spending free time	60	5.71	.931		
	News sites and information	28	5.80	1.271	_	
	Shopping	8	4.96	1.253		
	Access to information	62	5.41	.968		
Innovation and	Fun	48	5.05	.902		
Problem Solving	Listening/watching video/music	81	5.21	.842	0 505	107
dimension	etc. applications				8.585	.127
	Spending free time	60	5.17	.940		
	News sites and information	28	5.50	1.333		
	Shopping	8	5.34	.981	_	
21st Century Skills	Access to information	62	5.60	.861		
Teaching Scale Total	Fun	48	5.33	.701		
score	Listening/watching video/music	81	5.48	.690		
	etc. applications				9.480	.091
	Spending free time	60	5.41	.888		
	News sites and information	28	5.61	1.227		
	Shopping	8	5.14	.971		

At the p>0.05 significance level, all of the Kruskal Wallis H test results about the Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates' scores on the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale about their reason for using the internet were determined to be unimportant. According to the reason for utilizing the internet, the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale scores of the Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates who took part in the study did not differ from one another.

Table 9 compares the Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates' 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale results based on family income.

	Skills Tedening Sea	the bused of	ritousend	na meome		
Dimension	Income	Ν	\overline{X}	SD	KW	р
The benefit of the	8500 TL	131	5.33	1.067		
Technology	8500-15000 TL	110	5.63	.869	6.912	.075
dimension	15000-25000 TL	32	5.67	.708	0.912	.075
	25000 TL and above	14	5.88	.482		
Collaboration	8500 TL	131	5.54	1.063		
dimension	8500-15000 TL	110	5.88	.769		
	15000-25000 TL	32	5.84	.703	6.211	.102
	25000 TL and above	14	6.00	.762		

 Table 9. Comparing Turkish Language and Literature Teacher Candidates' Performance on the 21st Century

 Skills Teaching Scale based on Household Income

Dimension	Income	Ν	\overline{X}	SD	KW	р
Innovation and	8500 TL	131	5.13	1.021		
Problem Solving	8500-15000 TL	110	5.35	.896	3.527	.317
dimension	15000-25000 TL	32	5.23	1.034	5.521	.517
	25000 TL and above	14	5.59	.551		
	8500 TL	131	5.31	.952		
21st Century Skills	8500-15000 TL	110	5.59	.741		
Teaching Scale Total	15000-25000 TL	32	5.55	.726	6.728	.081
score	25000 TL and above	14	5.80	.485		

At the p>0.05 significance level, all of the Kruskal Wallis H test results about the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale scores of Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates based on the income level of their families were determined to be unimportant. This result indicates that there is no difference in the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale scores between the Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates who took part in the study based on the financial level of their families.

Table 10 presents a comparison of the Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates' 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale results based on where they live.

Dimension	Residence	Ν	\overline{X}	SD	KW	р
The benefit of the	Bay	63	5.33	1.057		
Technology	Town	6	5.17	.863	10.004	010
dimension	District	77	5.38	.889	10.004	.019
	City	141	5.67	.913		
Collaboration	Bay	63	5.55	1.073		
dimension	Town	6	5.22	.981	6.854	077
	District	77	5.68	.792	0.834	.077
	City	141	5.85	.898		
Innovation and	Bay	63	5.16	.965		
Problem Solving	Town	6	4.42	1.021	5.286	.152
dimension	District	77	5.25	.923	3.280	.132
	City	141	5.33	.969		
21st Century Skills	Bay	63	5.33	.917		
Teaching Scale Total	Town	6	4.88	.870	8.012	.046
score	District	77	5.42	.752	0.012	.040
	City	141	5.59	.842		

Table 10. Comparison of the Scores of Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature Teacher Candidates on the21st Century Skills Teaching Scale based on their Level and Place of Residence

The collaboration innovation and problem-solving dimensions, as well as the total scores of the Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates according to their place of residence, are significant at the p<0.05 significance level, according to the Kruskal Wallis H test regarding the Benefit of Technology dimension of the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale. Scores on the Kruskal Wallis H test were determined to be unimportant at the p>0.05 significance level.

These results demonstrate that, depending on their place of residence, there are differences between the Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates taking part in the research about the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale Total scores and the Benefit of Technology dimension. To determine which inhabitants are the source of the difference, a Post Hoc test was used.

According to the results of the Post Hoc test, people who live in cities score higher than those who live in villages and towns on the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale's Benefit of Technology dimension. This difference is significant at the p<0.05 level of significance. At the p<0.05 significance level, there was a significant difference between the benefit dimension scores, which were determined to be greater.

Table 11 compares the scores of Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates on the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale based on whether or not they have previously heard of 21st-century skills.

			•			
Dimension	Awareness	Ν	\overline{X}	SD	t	р
The benefit of the Technology	Yes	165	5.69	.947	3.881	.000
dimension	No	122	5.26	.897	5.001	.000
Collaboration dimension	Yes	165	5.89	.884	3.510	.001
	No	122	5.51	.929	5.510	.001
Innovation and Problem Solving	Yes	165	5.39	.981	2.956	.003
dimension	No	122	5.06	.905	2.750	.005
21st Century Skills Teaching Scale	Yes	165	5.63	.842	3.823	.000
Total score	No	122	5.25	.800	5.025	.000

Table 11. Comparison of 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale Scores of Teacher Candidates according to Whether They Have Heard of 21st-century Skills Before

The Benefits of the Technology dimension, the Collaboration dimension, the Innovation and Problem-Solving dimension, and the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale are the factors that determine whether or not Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates have heard of 21st-century skills before. The t-test revealed that all t-values were significant at the p<0.05 significance level concerning the scale's overall score.

Upon closer inspection of the table, it becomes evident that teacher candidates who have heard of 21st-century skills before have higher mean scores for the Benefit of Technology dimension, Collaboration dimension, Innovation and Problem-Solving dimension, and 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale Total score. Therefore, it may be concluded that teacher candidates who have previously heard about 21st-century talents

possess superior 21st-century skills compared to those who have not.

Table 12 presents a comparison of the results obtained by Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates on the 21st-century abilities Teaching Scale based on their understanding of these abilities.

Table 12. Comparison of 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale Scores of Teacher Candidates according to their
Knowledge of 21st-century Skills

	8					
Dimension	Knowledge	N	\overline{X}	SD	t	р
The benefit of the Technology	Yes	152	5.67	.964	3.168	.002
dimension	No	135	5.32	.899	5.100	.002
Collaboration dimension	Yes	152	5.88	.917	2.949	.003
	No	135	5.56	.899	2.919	.005
Innovation and Problem Solving	Yes	152	5.38	.986	2.544	.011
dimension	No	135	5.10	.914	2.511	.011
21st Century Skills Teaching Scale	Yes	152	5.62	.863	3.205	.002
Total score	No	135	5.30	.793	5.205	.002

Considering the knowledge that Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates possess regarding 21st-century skills, the Benefit of Technology dimension, Collaboration dimension, Innovation and Problem-Solving dimension, and 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale The t-test revealed that all t values were significant at the p<0.05 significance level for the overall score on the Skills Teaching Scale.

Upon closer examination of the table, it becomes evident that teacher candidates who possess a greater understanding of 21st-century skills have mean scores that are higher than those of teacher candidates who do not possess these skills in the areas of Benefit of Technology, Collaboration, Innovation, and Problem-Solving, as well as the Total Score of the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale. It follows that teacher candidates who possess knowledge of 21st-century talents will possess more 21st-century skills than those who do not.

Table 13 compares the scores of Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates on the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale based on whether or not they took courses in 21st-century skills during their undergraduate studies. The 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale's Benefit of Technology dimension, Collaboration dimension, Innovation and Problem-Solving dimension, and 21st Century dimension are based on the status of Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates who are enrolled in undergraduate courses related to 21st-century skills. The t-test revealed that all t-values were significant at the p<0.05 significance level about the Skills Teaching Scale total score. Upon examining the table, it becomes evident that the teacher candidates who completed 21st-century skills courses at the undergraduate level had mean scores that were higher than those of the teacher candidates who did not take the 21st-Century Skills Teaching Scale's Collaboration, Innovation and Problem-Solving, Benefit of Technology, and Total score averages. It follows that teacher candidates who completed undergraduate courses on 21st-century abilities have superior 21st-century skills courses on 21st-century abilit

Dimension	Training	Ν	\overline{X}	SD	t	р
The benefit of the Technology	Yes	91	5.75	.812	3.063	.002
dimension	No	196	5.39	.987	5.005	.002
Collaboration dimension	Yes	91	5.91	.836	2.305	.022
	No	196	5.64	.948	2.505	.022
Innovation and Problem Solving	Yes	91	5.49	.890	2.939	.004
dimension	No	196	5.14	.976	2.939	.004
21st Century Skills Teaching Scale	Yes	91	5.70	.752	3.137	.002
Total score	No	196	5.36	.865	5.157	.002

Table 13. Comparison of Teacher Applicants' Results on the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale based onWhether or not They Completed 21st-century Skills Courses during their Bachelor Studies

Discussion and Conclusion

Teacher; It is one of the most fundamental elements of an education system. For the students to be educated to have skills that will not lag behind the times, it is necessary to increase the qualifications of all elements that make up the education system. For this reason, we need more qualified and willing teachers, more modern teaching programs, more suitable classroom and school environments, and more teachers; It is one of the most fundamental elements of an education system. For the students to be educated to have skills that will not lag behind the times, it is necessary to increase the qualifications of all elements of an education system. For the students to be educated to have skills that will not lag behind the times, it is necessary to increase the qualifications of all elements that make up the education system. For this reason, there is a need for more qualified and enthusiastic teachers, more modern teaching programs, more suitable classroom and school environments, and better-quality administrators. Each part of the system affects the process and result, and the deficiency or inadequacy of one of them affects the quality and efficiency of education (Ilhan, 2004). Therefore, our teachers need to keep up with developing and changing systems, constantly improve themselves, and actively apply what they have learned in their lessons.

When the practices related to language teaching are examined, it is seen that the Turkish, Turkish Language, and Literature department teacher candidates have a functional role in guiding students as a requirement of the constructivist language teaching approach. For effective and successful language teaching, language teachers who are specialized in their fields, are competent and well-trained and can adapt to the requirements of the age are needed. It is only in the 21st century that this education can achieve the desired goals. It is possible with teachers who are equipped with their skills and are competent in this field. Communication, problem-solving, collaboration, information, media and technology literacy, and lifelong learning have a direct relationship with language. Therefore, Turkish, Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates, who are still in the training phase, have a strong interest in the 21st century. Entering the profession with these skills and guiding students at these points will make it easier to raise individuals who are in tune with their age (Gömleksiz, Sinan, and Doğan, 2019).

In this study, which examined the 21st-century skills teaching levels of Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates, the following results were obtained. There are 24% men and 76% women

participating in the study. Of the sample group, 18.8% are roughly between the ages of 18 and 20; 71.8% are between the ages of 21 and 25, 4.9% are between the ages of 26 and 30, and 4.5% are older than 31. Students in the age range of 21 to 25 make up the bulk of the research subjects. 50.9% of the teacher candidates taking part in the study are enrolled in the Department of Turkish Education, while 49.1% are in the Department of Turkish Language and Literature. This finding clarifies why there was an equal distribution of teacher candidates taking part in the study.

113 teacher candidates (39.4%) participating in the research spend 3 hours on the internet daily. In the study titled Teacher Candidates' attitudes towards mobile learning conducted by Kırbaş and Bulut (2023), it was concluded that approximately 45% of teacher candidates spent 3 hours or more on the Internet. This result also coincides with the results of the study. When the literature is examined, it is similar to the results of studies on the internet surfing time of individuals in this age group (İşman et al., 2003; Bahar, Uludağ, and Kaplan, 2009; Çelik and Bindak, 2005; Kahraman et al., 2005; Mumcu and Usta 2014). Teacher candidates use the internet for watching videos and listening to music at a rate of 28% at most. This result is parallel to the results of his study (Erdur-Baker and Kavşut 2007; Hasebrink et al., 2009; Kaşıkçı et al., 2014).

Families with low incomes make up about half of the research participants. It was determined that 49% of the teacher applicants lived in cities, whereas only a few of them did so in the countryside. It was determined that almost 58% of the teacher applicants knew something about 21st-century skills, and roughly 32% of them had taken 21st-century skills courses during their undergraduate studies. Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates' responses to the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale's dimensions and total are taken into consideration, taking into account their responses to the benefits of technology, cooperation, innovation, and problem-solving dimensions, which range from 1 to 7 points. They appear to be in the "Somewhat Sufficient" category. It was concluded that the 21st-century skills teaching scale of male teacher candidates and female teacher candidates was more effective in terms of the benefit of technology dimension, cooperation dimension, innovation, and problem solving. Based on this result, it can be said that male teacher candidates have better 21st-century skills than female teacher candidates.

Regarding the instruction of 21st-century skills, there was no variation based on the age range of teacher applicants. The study concluded that the teacher candidates from the Turkish Education Department had lower mean scores than the teacher candidates from the Turkish Language and Literature Education Department in the areas of Benefit of Technology, Collaboration, Innovation, and Problem-Solving, as well as Total Score Averages on the 21st Century Skills Teaching Scale. The Department of Turkish Education's teacher candidates outperformed the Department of Turkish Language and Literature Education's teacher candidates in teaching 21st-century skills, according to this result. The competence of Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates to impart 21st-century abilities was not impacted by their amount of internet browsing. The competence of Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates to impart 21st-century skills was not influenced by the domicile and financial level of their families. The findings indicate that individuals with prior knowledge of 21st-century skills, those who are aware of what these skills are, and teacher candidates for Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature who take courses on 21st-century skills during their undergraduate studies

are more successful in teaching 21st-century skills. One possible explanation for the high degree of 21st-century abilities possessed by teacher candidates could be their undergraduate education, which has equipped them with a high degree of information and technology literacy. The research's findings are consistent with those of (Sang, Liang, Chai, Dong, and Tsai, 2018; Aktaş, 2022It has been determined that individuals with prior information). Furthermore, since technology permeates every element of human existence, it has also ingrained itself into the lives of prospective teachers. Accordingly, teacher candidates' technological benefit, cooperation, inventiveness, and problem-solving abilities have all benefited from their ability to swiftly obtain information and use the Internet and social networks (Aktaş, 2022).

Recommendations

Based on the results obtained from the research, some suggestions are presented for the relevant literature, researchers, and academicians researching this subject. This study was conducted on Turkish and Turkish Language and Literature teacher candidates. Researchers can conduct similar studies with prospective teachers in different branches using a different measurement tool. In this study, prospective teachers' skills in teaching century skills were conducted with a quantitative research model. In-depth information can be obtained by different researchers by conducting qualitative studies to identify these skills of teacher candidates. Researchers can compare the results by conducting studies at different universities and with larger participants regarding the teaching of century skills to teacher candidates. In this study, it was concluded that students who took courses on 21st-century skills at the undergraduate level were more successful in teaching these skills. For this reason, universities can provide more courses to develop these skills and more courses and practices to raise awareness of teacher candidates on this subject.

References

- Aktaş, İ. (2022). Examination of 21st-century skills of pre-service teachers in terms of various variables. *Journal* of Interdisciplinary Educational Research, 6(12), 187-203.
- Al-shummarani, L. A. & Nasr, A. T. A. (2022). Attitudes of Learning Disabilities Teachers towards Use of Augmented Reality Technology. *International Journal of Studies in Education and Science (IJSES)*, 3(2), 119-131.
- Altawalbeh, M. A. (2023). Adoption of Academic Staff to use the Learning Management System (LMS): Applying Extended Technology Acceptance Model (TAM2) for Jordanian Universities. *International Journal on Studies in Education (IJonSE)*, 5(3), 288-300. https://doi.org/10.46328/ijonse.124
- Amaniampong, A. & Hartmann, M. D. (2023). Factors Affecting Technology Integration in Colleges of Education. *International Journal of Studies in Education and Science (IJSES)*, 4(2), 176-194. https://doi.org/10.46328/ijres.69
- Anagün, Ş. S., Atalay, N., Kılıç, Z. & Yaşar, S. (2016). The development of a 21st century skills and competences scale directed at teaching candidates: Validity and reliability study. *Pamukkale University Journal of Education*, 40(40), 160-175.
- Ananiadou, K. & Claro, M. (2009). 21st-century skills and competences for new millennium learners in OECD

countries. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

- Autor, D.H., Levy, F., & Murnane, R.J. (2003). The skill content of recent technological change: An empirical exploration. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 118(4), 1279-1333.
- (ATC21S). *Melbourne, Australia: Assessment and Teaching of 21st Century Skills.* https://oei.org.ar/ibertic/evaluacion/sites/default/files/biblioteca/24_defining-21st-century-skills.pdf
- Bahar, H. H., Uludağ, E., & Kaplan, K. (2009). An investigation of the computer and internet attitudes on primary school teachers from Kars province. *Erzincan University Journal of Education Faculty*, 11(2), 67-83.
- Ball, A., Joyce, H. D. & Anderson-Butcher, D. (2016). Exploring 21st century skills and learning environments for middle school youth. *International Journal of School Social Work*, 1(1), 1-15.
- Beers, S. Z. (2011). 21st century skills Preparing students for their future. https://cosee.umaine.edu/files/coseeos/21st_century_skills.pdf
- Bedir, H., (2019). Pre-service elt teachers' beliefs and perceptions on 21st-century learning and innovation skills (4Cs), *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, *XV*(1), 231-246.
- Binkley, M., Erstad, O., Herman, J., Raizen, S., Ripley, M., & Rumble, M. (2010). *Defining 21st century skills*.White Paper commissioned for the Assessment and Teaching of 21st Century Skills Project
- Birgin, O., & Gürbüz, R., (2008), Sınıf öğretmeni adaylarının ölçme ve değerlendirme konusundaki bilgi düzeylerinin incelenmesi. *The Journal of Selcuk University Social Sciences Institute*, (20), 163-179.
- Boe, Christoper Scott (2013). Have 21st century skills made their way to the university classroom? A study to examine the extent to which 21st century skills are being in corporated into the academic programs at a small, Private, Church related University [Unpublished Master Thesis], Gardner-Webb University, North Carolinia.
- Brown, S. (2018). *Best Practices in 21st Century Learning Environments: A Study of Two P21 Exemplar Schools* [Unpublished DissertationsTheses]. Brandman, California.
- Büyüköztürk, Ş., Çakmak-Kılıç E., Akgün, Ö., E., Karadeniz, Ş., & Demirel, F., (2016), *Bilimsel araştırma yöntemleri*, Ankara: Pegem Akademi.
- Cansoy, R. (2018). 21st century skills according to international frameworks and building them in the education system. *Journal of the Human and Social Science Researches*, 7(4), 3112-3134.
- Cresswell, J. W., (2017). Araştırma deseni-nitel, nicel ve karma yöntem yaklaşımları, (Çeviren: S. B. Demir), Ankara: Eğiten Kitap.
- Çakır-İlhan, A. (2004). 21. yüzyılda öğretmen yeterlikleri. *Bilim ve Aklın Aydınlığında Eğitim Dergisi*, 58, 40-45.
- Çelebi, M., Sevinç, Ş. (26-27 April 2019,) Öğretmenlerin 21. yüzyıl becerilerine ilişkin yeterlik algılarının ve bu becerileri kullanım düzeylerinin belirlenmesi [Conference presentation]. 6th International Multidisciplinary Studies Congress, 157-172. Gaziantep, Turkey.
- Çelik, H. C., & Bindak, R. (2005 Examining the computer attitudes of primary school teachers according to various variables. *İnönü Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 6(10), 27-38.
- Dede, C. (2010). Comparing frameworks for 21st century skills. (J. Bellanca & R. Brandt Ed.), 21st century skills: Rethinking how students learn (s.51-76). Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press.
- Erdoğan, E., & Eker, C. (2020). Investigation of the relationship between turkish pre-service teachers' 21st century skills and lifelong learning life tendencies, *Karaelmas Journal of Educational Sciences*, 8, 118-

147.

- Erdur-Baker, Ö. & Kavşut, F. (2007). Cyber bullying: A new face of peer bullying. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, 27, 31-42.
- Erten, P. (2019). Preservice teachers' perceptions of 21st century skills competence and their views on gaining these skills. *National Education journal*, 49(227), 33-64.
- Faulkner, J. & Latham, G., (2016), Adventurous lives: Teacher qualities for 21st century learners, *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, *XLI*(4), 137-150.
- Gömleksiz, M. N., Sinan, A. T. & Doğan, F. D. (2019). Perceptions of prospective teachers of Turkish, Turkish language and literature and contemporary Turkish dialects of the 21st century skills and competences, *International Journal of Eurasian Researches*, 7(19), 163-185.
- Greiff, S., Wüstenberg, S., Csapó, B., Demetriou, A., Hautamäki, J., Graesser, A.C. & Martin, R. (2014). Domain general problem solving skills and education in the 21st century. *Educational Research Review*, 13, 74-83.
- Griffin, P., McGaw, B. & Care, E. (Ed.). (2012). Assessment and teaching of 21stcentury skills. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer.
- Hasebrink, U., Livingstone, S., Haddon, L., & Olafsson, K. (2009). Comparing children's online opportunities and risks across Europe: Cross-national comparisons for EU Kids Online. LSE, London: EU Kids Online (2nd edition).
- İşman, A., Çağlar, M., Dabaj, F., Altınay, Z., & Altınay, F. (2003). Attitudes of students toward computers. *Proceedings of Third International Educational Technologies Symposium*, 28-30 May 2003. Eastern Mediterranean University, Gazimağusa- Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus.
- Kahraman, Ö., Köse, S., & Kara, İ. (2005). İlköğretim okullarında görev yapan branş öğretmenlerin bilgisayar okuryazarlığı, bilgisayara karşı ve bilgisayar destekli öğretime karşı tutum araştırması. XIV. Ulusal Eğitim Bilimleri Kongresi Kongre Kitabı (828-832). Denizli: Pamukkale Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi.
- Kan, A., 2009. *Ölçme sonuçları üzerinde istatistiksel işlemler*. H. Atılgan (Ed.), Eğitimde Ölçme ve Değerlendirme (ss.397–456), Ankara: Anı Yayıncılık.
- Kaşıkçı, D., N., Çağıltay, K., Karakuş, T., Kurşun, E., Ogan, C. (2014). Internet habits and safe internet use of children in Turkey and Europe. *Education and Science*, 39(171), 230-243.
- Kellner, D. (2000). New technologies/new literacies: Reconstructing education for the new millennium. *Teaching Education 11*(3), 245-265.
- Kennedy T. J., & Odell M. R. L. (2014). Engaging students in STEM education. *Journal of Science Education International*, 25(3), 246-258.
- Kilmen, S., Kösterelioğlu, M. & Kösterelioğlu İ. (2007). Öğretmen adaylarının ölçme değerlendirme araç ve yaklaşımlarına ilişkin yeterlik algıları. *AİBÜ, Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, 7*(1), 129-140.
- Kirbas, A. & Bulut, M. (2023). An examination of the attitudes of teacher candidates towards mobile learning. *International Journal of Technology in Education (IJTE)*, 6(4), 681-699. https://doi.org/10.46328/ijte.663
- Kivunja, C., (2014), Innovative pedagogies in higher education to become effective teachers of 21st century skills: Unpacking the learning and innovations skills domain of the new learning paradigm, *International Journal of Higher Education*, (IV)4, 37-48.

- Köse, S., Gencer, A.S., & Gezer, K. (2007). Vocational high school students' attitudes toward computer and internet. *Pamukkale University Journal of Education (PUJE), 21, 44-54.*
- Kozikoğlu İ., & Altunova N., (2018). The predictive power of prospective teachers' self-efficacy perceptions of 21st-century skills for their lifelong learning tendencies. *Journal of Higher Education and Science*, 8(3), 522-531. https://doi.org/10.5961/jhes.2018.293
- Lamb, S., Maire, Q. & Doecke, E. (2017). *Key Skills for the 21st Century: an evidence-based review.* Project Report. NSW Department of Education, Sydney.
- Lai, E. R. & Viering, M. (2012). Assessing 21st century skills: Integrating research findings. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the National Council on Measurement in Education. Vancouver, B.C., Canada.
- Louis, R.C. (2012). A case study exploring technology use and incorporation of 21st century skills in elementary classrooms [Unpublished Thesis]. University of Boston.
- Marpa, E. P. (2021). Technology in the Teaching of Mathematics: An Analysis of Teachers' Attitudes during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal on Studies in Education (IJonSE)*, *3*(2), 92-102.
- Meylani, R. (2024). An In-Depth Literature Review of Remote, Online, and Hybrid Learning with Case Studies of Successful and Failed Attempts. *International Journal of Studies in Education and Science (IJSES)*, 5(1), 29-42. https://doi.org/10.46328/ijses.87
- Motallebzadeh, K., Ahmadi, F. & Hosseinnia, M., (2018), Relationship between 21st century skills, speaking and writing skills: A structural equation modelling approach. *Internotional Journal of Instruction, XI*(3), 265-276.
- Mumcu, Y., H. & Usta, D., N. (2014). Prospective teachers' attitudes towards the use of computers and internet. Journal of Instructional Technologies & Teacher Education, 3(3), 44-55
- Nissim, Y., Weissblueth, E., Scott-Webber, L. & Amar, S. (2016). The effect of a stimulating learning environment on pre-service teachers' motivation and 21st century skills. *Journal of Education and Learning*, (V)3, 29-39.
- Ozturk, O.T. (2023). Examination of 21st Century Skills and Technological Competences of Students of Fine Arts Faculty. *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science, and Technology (IJEMST), 11*(1), 115-132. https://doi.org/10.46328/ijemst.2931
- Özyurt, M. (2020). Adaptation of 21st century skills teaching scale to Turkish culture: A validity-reliability study. *International Journal of Society Researches*, *16*(30), 2568-2594. DOI: 10.26466/opus.725042
- Pa-alisbo, M.A.C., (2017). The 21st century skills and job performance of teachers. *Journal of Education and Practice*, (*VIII*)32, 7-12.
- Partnership for 21st Century Skills (P21). (2008). 21st century skills, education & competitiveness: A resource and policy guide. Tucson. AZ: Author. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED519337.
- Partnership for 21st Century Skills. (2009). Curriculum and instruction: A 21st century skills implementation guide. The Partnership for 21st Century Skill. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED519422
- Partnership for 21st Century Learning & The American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education, (2010).21stcenturyknowledgeandskillsineducatorpreparation.https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED519336.pdf
- Partnership for 21st Century Skills. (2015). P21 Framework Definitions. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED519462.

- Partnership for 21st Century Learning (2019). Framework for 21st Century Learning, Brief.http://static.battelleforkids.org/documents/p21/P21_Framework_Brief.pdf
- Rotherham, A. J. & Willingham, D. (2009). To work, the 21st century skills movement will require keen attention to curriculum, teacher quality, and assessment. *Educational leadership*, 9(1), 15-20.
- Smith, J. & Hu, R., (2013), Rethinking teacher education: Synchronizing eastern and western views of teaching and learning to promote 21st century skills and global perspectives. *Education Research and Perspectives an İnternational Journal, XL*, 86-108.
- Saavedra, A. R. & Opfer, V. D. (2012). Teaching and learning 21st century skills: Lessons from the learning sciences. *A Global Cities Education Network Report. New York, Asia Society*.
- Sang, G., Liang, J.C., Chai, C.S. et al. (2018). Teachers' actual and preferred perceptions of twenty-first century learning competencies: a Chinese perspective. *Asia Pacific Educ. Rev.* 19, 307–317.
- Silva, E. (2009). Measuring skills for 21. st. century learning. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 90(9), 630-634. https://www.jstor.org/stable/27652741
- Soland, J., Hamilton, L. S., & Stecher, B. M. (2013). *Measuring 21st century competencies guidance for educators*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation.
- Syafii, M. L., Kusnawan, W., & Syukroni, A. (2020). Enhancing Listening Skills Using Games. International Journal on Studies in Education (IJonSE), 2(2), 78-107.
- Tanrıöğen, A.(2014). Bilimsel araştırma yöntemleri. Anı Yayıncılık.
- Uyar, A., Çiçek, B. (2021). 21st century skills of different field teachers. IBAD *Journal of Social Sciences*, (9), 1-11.
- Valtonen, T., Hoang, N., Sointu, E., N[•]aykki, P., Virtanen, A., et al. (2021). How pre-service teachers perceive their 21st-century skills and dispositions: A longitudinal perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *116*, 106643, 1-9.
- Voogt, J. & Roblin, N. P. (2012). A comparative analysis of international frameworks for 21st-century competences: Implications for national curriculum policies. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 44(3), 299– 321.
- Walser, N. (2008). Teaching 21st century skills. Harvard Education Letter, 24(5), 1-3.
- Washer, P. (2007). Revisiting key skills: A practical framework for higher education. *Quality in Higher Education*, 13(1), 57-67.
- Yalçın, S. (2018). 21st century skills and tools and approaches that are used to measure these skills. *Ankara* University Journal of Faculty of Educational Sciences, 51(1), 183-201.

Author Information				
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abdulkadir Kırbaş Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mesut Bulut				
b https://orcid.org//0000-0001-9846-0256	bttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-0733-0964			
Atatürk University	Atatürk University			
Türkiye	Türkiye			
Contact e-mail: akadirkirbas@atauni.edu.tr				