

www.ijte.net

The Impact of Using Educational and Digital Games on Middle School Students Science Achievement

Asli Bahar Ivgin 🛄 Yildiz Technical University, Turkiye

Hakan Akcay 🛄 Bogazici University, Turkiye

## To cite this article:

Ivgin, A.B. & Akcay, H. (2024 The impact of using educational and digital games on middle school students science achievement. International Journal of Technology in Education (IJTE), 7(3), 386-416. https://doi.org/10.46328/ijte.781

The International Journal of Technology in Education (IJTE) is a peer-reviewed scholarly online journal. This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Authors alone are responsible for the contents of their articles. The journal owns the copyright of the articles. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of the research material. All authors are requested to disclose any actual or potential conflict of interest including any financial, personal or other relationships with other people or organizations regarding the submitted work.



EX NO 58 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.



2024, Vol. 7, No. 3, 386-416

https://doi.org/10.46328/ijte.781

# The Impact of Using Educational and Digital Games on Middle School Students Science Achievement

## Asli Bahar Ivgin, Hakan Akcay

| Article Info  | Abstract  |
|---|---|
| Article History   | Game-based learning has attracted great interest in science education as an   |
| Received:<br>14 November 2023<br>Accepted:<br>03 May 2024                                   | effective way to increase student achievement. Most studies in this field have<br>focused on digital or non-digital games. In the literature, some studies generally<br>compare educational games with traditional teaching methods. More studies need<br>to be conducted to compare the effects of digital and non-digital games on<br>achievement. For this reason, the study's primary purpose is to examine the impact  |
| Keywords<br>Educational games<br>Digital games<br>Science education<br>Academic achievement | of different types of games, namely educational and digital games, and their<br>combinations on students' academic achievement and views on the learning<br>process. In this context, the researcher used three different methods to be applied<br>to three experimental groups and one control group. The research was carried out<br>on 77 5th-grade students studying in a public school in Turkey. Both quantitative<br>and qualitative research methods were used in the quasi-experimental design. Data<br>were obtained through the 'Human and Environment Unit Achievement Test' and<br>semi-structured interviews. The results showed that students in the educational<br>and digital games sections were significantly better at science achievement than<br>students in the textbook-oriented section. No significant difference was found<br>between the digital game-based and educational game-based students in terms of<br>achievement. The academic achievement of the group in which these two game<br>types were used together was higher than the others. In addition, most students<br>were satisfied with the using educational and digital games in science lessons and<br>found the games fun and motivating. |

## Introduction

Due to technological advances and rapid development, researchers are looking for new ways to stimulate students' learning and meet the increasing educational demands. (Liu et al., 2020) In modern times, it is seen that classroom activities and academic environments have shown significant changes compared to traditional educational methods (Yıldız, 2022). The teacher-centered method means that the teacher presents content knowledge, experiments are only demonstrated, and there is limited interaction between students (Dimitrios et al., 2013; Eilks et al., 2013; Nzeyimana & Ndihokubwayo, 2019). Traditional teaching methods and some applications are insufficient to meet today's student needs (Kalogiannakis, M et al. 2021).

Accordingly, student-centered education has emerged, and education is based on the student's active participation in the classroom. With the change and updating of educational environments, the process of adapting games that previously seemed meaningless, known only as children's entertainment, to educational environments has also begun (Jurakulovna et al., 2022). According to Dominguez et al. (2013) and Crocco et al. (2016), educational researchers have used games with great interest. Studies in the last two decades have focussed on the theory of game-based learning and why games are a powerful teaching tool. Among these important studies are Prensky (2003), Gee (2003), Oblinger (2004), and Squire et al. (2003).

In the Literature, it is argued that the use of games for instructional purposes enables students to enjoy learning, feeds their self-confidence, imagination, and creative thinking, and guides them to the correct information by providing instant feedback (Alıcı, 2016; Gürpınar, 2017). These can be considered as factors that strengthen student success. In addition, educational games, a learner-centered technique, enable students to have fun, make the lessons more enjoyable and efficient, direct all attention to the subject, and trigger motivation. (Boghian et al., 2019; Plass et al., 2015; Vlachopoulos D, Marki A.2017) This brings effective learning and success (Talan et al., 2020). There are related studies that show that the educational use of games positively affects learning and is effective in increasing achievement (Brezovszky B et al., 2018; Chen, Tseng, et al., 2018; Chian-Wen, 2014; Liao et al., 2010; Tokaç et al., 2019).

However, few studies have compared the effects of game-based learning on students' learning and achievement between digital and non-digital games. (Whang & Zeng, 2021) Research on the impact of non-digital or traditional classroom games is either old-fashioned or limited (Talan et al., 2020). This fact has been echoed in the Literature on the use of educational games, and it is noted that there are reviews examining various essential aspects of games that promote learning. However, they are pretty old (Talan et al., 2020). This is a sign that research into educational games is declining in favor of research into the educational potential of digital games (Yu et al., 2020).

Game-based learning can transform science teaching and learning (Hamari et al., 2016; Khan et al., 2017; Cardinot & Fairfield, 2019). The literature also contains some research findings that show that non-digital games can provide more benefits than digital games (Edwards, 2014; Ernest et al., 2014; Talan et al., 2020; Yang&Chen, 2023; von Gillern & Alaswad, 2016). Several studies have reported the positive effects of using educational games in science education (Lester et al., 2013; Li et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2013; Sung & Hwang, 2013; Wang & Zheng, 2021). Educational games have been demonstrated to impact students' problem-solving abilities positively (Lester et al., 2013; Li et al., 2016), motivation to learn science (Yıldız et al., 2017), and achievement in science (Sung & Hwang, 2013; Wang & Zheng, 2021).

In recent years, there has been a proliferation of literature reviews and meta-analysis studies on the use of different types of educational games in science education (Arztman et al., 2022; Cheng et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2022; Kalogiannakis et al., 2021; Riopel et al., 2019; Tsai & Tsai, 2020; Wang et al., 2022). However, more research is needed to determine which types of games are most effective in increasing students' science achievement. Therefore, this study aimed to go beyond using a single game type and investigate the effects of educational and digital game types used together and separately on students' achievement in science education and students' views

on the process.

## Theoretical Framework Game-Based Learning (GBL)

De Freitas defined educational games as 'games for learning'. These games create 'creative, interactive and captivating environments' where learners can engage in 'role plays,' showcase their skills, and engage in various types of learning individually or in co-operation with a team. Mayer (2020) states that GBL occurs when there is a change in the student's skills or academic knowledge as a result of playing games. Such knowledge and skill development are enhanced through game activities that create problem-solving opportunities and challenges, providing students with a sense of winning (Qian & Clark, 2016).

The definition of game-based learning can be ambiguous due to the variety of genres and subject areas in which it is applied. Game-based learning (GBL) combines course outcomes and games to enhance students' learning experiences (Jayasinghe & Dharmaratne, 2013; Roodt & Ryklief, 2019). GBLs are structured materials that provide fun learning, develop thinking skills, and encourage learning through games (Azizan et al., 2021). Educational games are a type of GBL used in education and focus on developing games with specific educational purposes in mind (Anastasiadis et al., 2018; Games & Carvalho, 2022). Dimitra et al. (2020) identified seven main types of GBL approaches applied in education: (i) memory games, (ii) simulation games, (iii) interactive games, (iv) quiz games, (v) puzzles, (vi) strategy games, and (vii) reality testing games.

Currently, GBL is a popular, innovative method widely applied in various disciplines. In contrast to more traditional teaching approaches, the primary method of GBL is to introduce various game elements into subject areas to encourage student engagement and increase participants' motivation. Game-based learning (GBL) combines educational theories, course curricula, and digital games to enhance the learning experience (Jayasinghe & Dharmaratne, 2013; Roodt & Ryklief, 2019).

The scope of GBL is vast and encompasses non-technological and technological integration of games within the educational and training activity. The concept of GBL is fun learning by doing/playing and specially designed, structured game learning materials that can promote the development of thinking skills and self-directed learning among students (Azizan et al., 2021). Educational games are the most common type of GBL used in education, focusing on the development of games with specific educational purposes in mind (Anastasiadis et al., 2018; Games & Carvalho, 2022), leading to increased enthusiasm for play and academic performance (Zhonggen, 2019). Educational games not only improve students' academic achievement and conceptual understanding but also increase their motivation to learn and have fun while making sense of the learned content (Arnold et al., 2021; Baek et al., 2015; Balakrishnan N., 2021; Byusa et al., 2020; Oliveira et al., 2021; Roodt & Ryklief, 2019; Partovi & Razavi, 2019).

## **Digital Game-Based Learning (DGBL)**

Definition of Digital Games

Digital game-based learning is using digital games as educational appliances to achieve desired learning outcomes (Prensky, 2001). Prensky (2003) emphasizes that today's generation is interested in video games because of their natural learning experiences. Various terms are associated with digital games in the Literature (Garris et al., 2002), leading to a broad understanding of what constitutes a digital game. These terms include computer games, digital games, electronic games, mobile games, and video games. To briefly describe digital games as "systems subject to certain rules" in which players achieve variable results or scores by making efforts (Clark et al., 2016). According to Prensky (2006), a game can be defined as digital based on six characteristics leading to grammatical engagement. These items; These are listed as:

- The rules of the game
- The aims of the game
- Conclusion and feedback
- The factor of conflict/ competition/ challenge
- Interaction factor
- The representation of a story or plot.

According to Whitton (2010), there needs to be a well-accepted definition of digital games in the academic Literature. Researchers from various disciplines have different perspectives on this subject. Whitton (2010) investigated the characteristics of digital games taken part in the Literature, focusing mainly on the qualities related to their use in training contexts, and these qualities are summarized in Table 1:

| Characteristics | Definition  |
|-----------------|---|
| Competition     | It is to be superior to others to achieve a result.                             |
| Challenge       | Tasks require effort and are difficult to solve.                                |
| Exploring       | There is an environment that is searchable and special to the subject.          |
| Imagination     | There is an imaginary environment, characters, or story.                        |
| Objectives      | There are aims and objectives, which are stated clearly.                        |
| Interaction     | There is an action that can change the course or situation and create feedback. |
| Results         | There are measurable results in the game process (for example, scoring)         |
| Participant     | The other individuals participate.  |
| Rules           | Artificial restrictions limit the activity.                                     |
| Security        | The activity has no consequences in the real world.                             |

Table 1. Expressions Describing Game Characteristics

"Whitton, N. (2010). Learning with digital games: A practical guide to engaging students in higher education. New York: Routledge."

Digital-based educational games are computer-based programs designed to create an entertaining learning environment by simulating real-world scenarios (Kapp, 2012). They are much more effective than non-digital game-based learning. (Zhonggen, 2019). The digital game is a computer-based program designed for entertainment and learning purposes by simulating real-world scenarios (Kapp, 2012). It is more effective than non-serious game-based learning (Zhonggen, 2019).

## Benefits of GBL and DGBL

Game-based learning is an emerging field of research with significant potential. Many previous studies have shown that learning motivation and efficiency can be increased through educational games. The benefits of digital and educational games, as discussed in the Literature, are presented in Table 2 below.

Table 2. Summarizing the Benefits of GBL and DGBL as Reflected in the Literature

| Benefits of Games   |
|---|
| The challenges created by games are conducive to learning (Hamari et al., 2016).                              |
| Motivates GBL for the improvement of critical thinking (Noroozi et al., 2020)                                 |
| GBL has the potential to promote critical thinking, which is in line with problem-based learning and theories |
| of social conflict (Noroozi et al., 2020).  |
| It develops 21st-century general skills such as decision-making, critical thinking, problem-solving,          |
| collaboration, and creativity (Anastasiadis et al., 2018; Qian & Clark, 2016; Klopfer & Thompson, 2020).      |
| It has a positive impact on student motivation by engaging them in action. (Breien and Wasson, 2021; Hamzeh   |
| et al., 2017; Huizenga et al., 2017,).  |
| It facilitates learning with increased student participation (de Freitas, 2018; Plass et al., 2015)           |
| It can facilitate both cognition and affective and motivational learning (Ke, 2016; Wouters et al., 2013).    |
| Educational games effectively improve students' academic performance (Chen, Tseng, et al., 2018; Chian-Wen,   |
| 2014; Liao et al., 2010; Tokaç et al., 2019).   |
| Games allow students to gain various conflicting information and perspectives on controversial issues.        |
| (Noroozi et al., 2016)  |

It positively impacts learning outcomes in science and engineering education and STEM. (Chang et al., 2020; Gao, F. et al. 2020; Gui, Y. et al. 2023; Wang, LH. et al. 2020)

#### Summary of Findings in the Literature

The theoretical background is summarized in Table 3 to clarify existing knowledge and to analyze and support the findings of this study.

 Table 3. Information on Some Studies in the Literature on the Effects of Educational and Digital Games on

 Education, lesson and Academic Success of Student

| Title            | Authors/  | <b>Purpose of Study</b> | Method       | Game name/    | Results             |
|------------------|-----------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|
|                  | Year      |                         |              | type          |                     |
| EDUTainment:     | Lasala N. | This study sought       | The quasi-   | The Conquest: | The results of this |
| Effectiveness of | Jr (2023) | to determine the        | experimental | Non-digital   | study support the   |
| Game-based       |           | effectiveness of        | study used a | game          | suitability of      |
| Activities in    |           | the developed           | mixed-       | Eco-          | using game-based    |
| Teaching         |           | game-based              | method       | Challenge:    | activities as       |

| Title             | Authors/   | Purpose of Study  | Method       | Game name/     | Results             |
|-------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------|
|                   | Year       |                   |              | type           |                     |
| Ecosystem Topics  |            | activities        | approach.    | Game board     | pedagogical and     |
|                   |            | (GBAs)in terms    |              | Eco-dama:      | learning tools.     |
|                   |            | of conceptual     |              | Dama Board     | They can improve    |
|                   |            | understanding and |              | Eco-Warrior:   | students'           |
|                   |            | the nature of     |              | Board game     | understanding of    |
|                   |            | student           |              |                | concepts and their  |
|                   |            | engagement.       |              |                | engagement in       |
|                   |            |                   |              |                | lessons and the     |
|                   |            |                   |              |                | learning process.   |
| Effects of game-  | Pan, Y.,   | The aim is to     | Pretest-     | ERebuild: 3D   | The findings        |
| based learning    | Ke, F.     | investigate the   | posttest     | game           | showed that         |
| Supports on       | (2023)     | effects of three  | experimental |                | students' overall   |
| students' math    |            | types of game-    | design       |                | math performance    |
| performance and   |            | based learning    |              |                | was significantly   |
| perceived game    |            | support, such as  |              |                | higher than before  |
| flow              |            | modeling, on      |              |                | the game.           |
|                   |            | secondary school  |              |                |                     |
|                   |            | students'         |              |                |                     |
|                   |            | mathematics       |              |                |                     |
|                   |            | achievement and   |              |                |                     |
|                   |            | perceived game    |              |                |                     |
|                   |            | flow.             |              |                |                     |
| The Impact of In- | Balakrishn | This study        | The mixed    | Collaboration- | In the group        |
| Classroom Non-    | a C.       | explores the      | methods      | based          | component, the      |
| Digital Game-     | (2023)     | impact of in-     | approach     | gameplay       | average score of    |
| Based Learning    |            | classroom,        | was used in  |                | the experimental    |
| Activities on     |            | non-digital game- | this study,  | Role-play      | group participants  |
| Students          |            | based learning    | which        | game           | was 86, while the   |
| Transitioning to  |            | techniques on     | involves     |                | control group       |
| Higher Education  |            | academic          | using both   | Challenge-     | participants'       |
|                   |            | performance,      | quantitative | based          | average score was   |
|                   |            | classroom         | and          | gameplay       | 71. Collaborative   |
|                   |            | engagement,       | qualitative  |                | and interactive in- |
|                   |            | Moreover, peer    | approaches.  | Construction-  | class game-based    |
|                   |            | interaction among |              | based          | learning activities |
|                   |            | first-year        |              | gameplay       | enabled the         |
|                   |            | university        |              |                | experimental        |
|                   |            | students studying |              |                | group participants  |

| Title             | Authors/     | Purpose of Study   | Method       | Game name/    | Results             |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|
|                   | Year         |                    |              | type          |                     |
|                   |              | computing          |              |               | to perform better   |
|                   |              | qualification.     |              |               | in the course.      |
| The Affordances   | Nkadimen     | This article aimed | Qualitative  | Minecraft Edu | Findings showed     |
| of Minecraft      | g, M.,       | to explore the     |              |               | that students were  |
| Education         | Ankiewicz    | advantages of      |              |               | motivated and       |
| as a Game-Based   | , P. (2022). | Minecraft Edu for  |              |               | interested in       |
| Learning Tool for |              | learning atomic    |              |               | critical thinking   |
| Atomic Structure  |              | structure in       |              |               | while               |
| in Junior High    |              | secondary school   |              |               | collaborating, and  |
| School Science    |              | by exploring       |              |               | the abstractness of |
| Education         |              | students'          |              |               | the atomic          |
|                   |              | experiences using  |              |               | structure was       |
|                   |              | Minecraft Edu as   |              |               | alleviated. While   |
|                   |              | a learning tool.   |              |               | not all features of |
|                   |              | The main research  |              |               | Minecraft Edu       |
|                   |              | question was:      |              |               | encourage active    |
|                   |              | What are the       |              |               | and deep learning   |
|                   |              | benefits of        |              |               | of abstract         |
|                   |              | Minecraft Edu for  |              |               | concepts, it does   |
|                   |              | learning atomic    |              |               | include some        |
|                   |              | structure in       |              |               | advantages to       |
|                   |              | secondary school?  |              |               | make the atomic     |
|                   |              |                    |              |               | structure less      |
|                   |              |                    |              |               | abstract for        |
|                   |              |                    |              |               | students.           |
| The effect of     | Ramaila,     | The study aimed    | Mixed        | Kahoot and    | Significant         |
| digital learning  | S.,          | to examine the     | method       | Edpuzzle      | differences were    |
| on the academic   | Mpinga,      | effect of digital  | approach as  |               | observed between    |
| achievement and   | N. P.        | learning on        | part of a    |               | pre-test and post-  |
| motivation of     | (2022).      | academic           | quasi-       |               | test scores due to  |
| natural sciences  |              | achievement and    | experimental |               | using digital       |
| learners: a case  |              | motivation among   | design       |               | resources.          |
| study of a South  |              | grade 9 Natural    |              |               | Digital resources   |
| African           |              | Sciences learners. |              |               | positively          |
| ındependent       |              |                    |              |               | impacted both       |
| school            |              |                    |              |               | academic            |
|                   |              |                    |              |               | achievement and     |
|                   |              |                    |              |               | learner             |

| Title             | Authors/   | Purpose of Study   | Method        | Game name/    | Results            |
|-------------------|------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|
|                   | Year       |                    |               | type          |                    |
|                   |            |                    |               |               | motivation.        |
|                   |            |                    |               |               | Theoretical        |
|                   |            |                    |               |               | implications for   |
|                   |            |                    |               |               | technology-        |
|                   |            |                    |               |               | enhanced teaching  |
|                   |            |                    |               |               | and learning were  |
|                   |            |                    |               |               | discussed.         |
| The influence of  | Xiong, Z., | It aimed to        | Quantitative  | Thinking      | The results        |
| digital           | Liu, Q., & | examine the        | approach      | Paradise      | showed that all    |
| educational       | Huang, X.  | effectiveness of   |               |               | indicators of      |
| games on          | (2022)     | an educational     |               |               | creative thinking  |
| preschool         |            | digital game       |               |               | were significantly |
| Children's        |            | called Thinking    |               |               | supported in       |
| creative thinking |            | Paradise on the    |               |               | children playing   |
|                   |            | creative thinking  |               |               | the educational    |
|                   |            | of preschool       |               |               | digital game and   |
|                   |            | children.          |               |               | could effectively  |
|                   |            |                    |               |               | improve their      |
|                   |            |                    |               |               | creative thinking. |
| Educational       | Yılmaz     | This study aims to | Design        | Unity Game    | The research       |
| computer game     | İnce, E.,  | examine the        | based         | Engine        | suggests that the  |
| for earthquake    | Sancak,    | effectiveness of   | approach      |               | digital game       |
|                   | M.E.       | an educational     |               |               | developed could    |
|                   | (2022).    | digital game       |               |               | be effective in    |
|                   |            | created using the  |               |               | teaching           |
|                   |            | UNITY program      |               |               | earthquakes.       |
|                   |            | for earthquake     |               |               | Different features |
|                   |            | education.         |               |               | can be added to    |
|                   |            |                    |               |               | the game to make   |
|                   |            |                    |               |               | it more effective. |
| Pre-Service       | Botes, W.  | This study         | A qualitative | Science 360:  | Findings from the  |
| Teachers'         | (2022)     | investigated how   | case study    | board game    | study revealed     |
| Experiences in    |            | science teacher    | considered a  | Caught in the | how their          |
| the Development   |            | candidates         | focus-group   | Web: board    | participation in   |
| of Educational    |            | experienced the    | discussion    | game          | the development    |
| Science Board     |            | development of     | and photo-    |               | of educational     |
| Games             |            | educational        | voice         |               | sciences board     |
|                   |            | science board      | methodology   |               | games had an       |

| Title             | Authors/     | Purpose of Study   | Method      | Game name/      | Results             |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|
|                   | Year         |                    |             | type            |                     |
|                   |              | games. It is based | as data     |                 | impact on their     |
|                   |              | on a conceptual    | collection. |                 | personal skill      |
|                   |              | understanding of   |             |                 | development,        |
|                   |              | game-based         |             |                 | professional        |
|                   |              | education that     |             |                 | teacher             |
|                   |              | allows for         |             |                 | development,        |
|                   |              | integrating board  |             |                 | development of      |
|                   |              | game mechanics,    |             |                 | pedagogical         |
|                   |              | board game         |             |                 | content             |
|                   |              | aesthetics, and    |             |                 | knowledge, and      |
|                   |              | board game         |             |                 | development of      |
|                   |              | thought.           |             |                 | applicable          |
|                   |              |                    |             |                 | evaluation          |
|                   |              |                    |             |                 | methodology         |
|                   |              |                    |             |                 | related to the      |
|                   |              |                    |             |                 | teaching of the     |
|                   |              |                    |             |                 | subject.            |
| "Student, parent, | Xie, J., et. | This research      | Mixed-      | Electricity and | The research        |
| and teacher       | al. (2021).  | aims to examine    | method      | circuits        | revealed that       |
| perceptions       |              | the perceptions of | approach    |                 | students, parents,  |
| towards digital   |              | students, parents, |             |                 | and teachers had a  |
| educational       |              | and teachers       |             |                 | specific digital    |
| games: How they   |              | towards            |             |                 | game experience     |
| differ and        |              | educational        |             |                 | but limited         |
| influence each    |              | digital games, to  |             |                 | knowledge about     |
| other."           |              | what extent they   |             |                 | educational digital |
|                   |              | affect each other, |             |                 | games. Students'    |
|                   |              | and how they       |             |                 | perceptions of      |
|                   |              | differ.            |             |                 | educational digital |
|                   |              |                    |             |                 | games are more      |
|                   |              |                    |             |                 | favorable than      |
|                   |              |                    |             |                 | those of parents    |
|                   |              |                    |             |                 | and teachers.       |
| Using game-       | Wang, M.,    | This study         | Experimenta | Lazors Game:    | Results show that   |
| based learning to | & Zheng,     | implements an      | l pre-post  | digital game    | students in GBL     |
| support Learning  | X. (2021).   | experiment to      | test        |                 | groups performed    |
| Science: A Study  |              | compare the        |             | Laser Maze:     | significantly       |
| with middle       |              | effects of digital |             | Non-digital     | better in the       |

| Title             | Authors/   | Purpose of Study    | Method      | Game name/   | Results             |
|-------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
|                   | Year       |                     |             | type         |                     |
| school students   |            | and non-digital     |             | game         | learning content    |
|                   |            | game approaches.    |             |              | than those in the   |
|                   |            |                     |             |              | traditional lecture |
|                   |            |                     |             |              | group.              |
| Game On:          | Hartt M.,  | This study          | Qualitative | Lifetime:    | The study's results |
| Exploring the     | et. al.    | investigates the    | analysis of | board game   | demonstrate the     |
| Effectiveness of  | (2020)     | effectiveness of    | the semi-   |              | potential of game-  |
| Game-based        |            | game-based          | structured  |              | based learning in   |
| Learning          |            | techniques in       | interviews. |              | higher education.   |
|                   |            | improving           |             |              | Students generally  |
|                   |            | students'           |             |              | preferred the       |
|                   |            | perceptions of      |             |              | gamified course     |
|                   |            | learning,           |             |              | and showed more     |
|                   |            | participation, and  |             |              | participation.      |
|                   |            | teamwork.           |             |              | It has been         |
|                   |            |                     |             |              | reported that       |
|                   |            |                     |             |              | enjoyment, peer     |
|                   |            |                     |             |              | interaction, and    |
|                   |            |                     |             |              | idea-sharing skills |
|                   |            |                     |             |              | are more effective  |
|                   |            |                     |             |              | in gamified         |
|                   |            |                     |             |              | lessons.            |
| "Digital game-    | Deng, L.,  | This study aimed    |             | Digital game | The research data   |
| based learning in | et. al.    | to examine the      |             |              | showed that         |
| a Shanghai        | (2020).    | perceptions of      |             |              | students' interest  |
| primary-school    |            | teachers and        |             |              | and motivation in   |
| mathematics       |            | students regarding  |             |              | learning increased  |
| class: A case     |            | digital game-       |             |              | when digital        |
| study."           |            | based teaching in   |             |              | games were used     |
|                   |            | the 2nd-grade       |             |              | once a day for six  |
|                   |            | mathematics         |             |              | days.               |
|                   |            | course.             |             |              |                     |
| CheMakers:        | Zhang, Z., | In this study, a    | Qualitative | Chemakers:   | Surveys before      |
| playing a         | et. al.    | board game was      | Survey      | board game   | and after the trial |
| collaborative     | (2020)     | developed to        |             |              | showed that         |
| board game to     |            | develop students'   |             |              | CheMakers did       |
| understand        |            | higher-order        |             |              | not increase        |
| organic chemistry |            | thinking skills and |             |              | students' interest  |

| Yeartypeas a teaching toolin organicto support thechemistry.subject of organicchemistry.chemistry. ThisThe findingsboard gamesuggest thatexplores thealthough game-importance ofbased learninganalyzingmay increasechemicalstudents'mechanisms.confidence in thesubject, more isneeded to makethem moreinterested.Students findstudents find   | Title           | Authors/   | Purpose of Study   | Method     | Game name/    | Results            |
|--|-----------------|------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|--------------------|
| as a teaching tool in organic<br>to support the chemistry.<br>subject of organic<br>chemistry. This The findings<br>board game suggest that<br>explores the although game-<br>importance of based learning<br>analyzing may increase<br>chemical students'<br>mechanisms. confidence in the<br>subject, more is<br>needed to make<br>them more<br>interested.<br>Students find   |                 | Year       |                    |            | type          |                    |
| to support thechemistry.subject of organicThe findingschemistry. ThisThe findingsboard gamesuggest thatexplores thealthough game-importance ofbased learninganalyzingmay increasechemicalstudents'mechanisms.confidence in thesubject, more isneeded to makehem moreinterested.fuel the moreinterested.fuel the moreinterested.  |                 |            | as a teaching tool |            |               | in organic         |
| subject of organic<br>chemistry. This Inferindings<br>board game suggest that<br>explores the although game-<br>importance of based learning<br>analyzing may increase<br>chemical students'<br>mechanisms. confidence in the<br>subject, more is<br>needed to make<br>them more<br>interested.<br>Students find   |                 |            | to support the     |            |               | chemistry.         |
| chemistry. ThisThe findingsboard gamesuggest thatexplores thealthough game-importance ofbased learninganalyzingmay increasechemicalstudents'mechanisms.confidence in thesubject, more isneeded to makehem moreinterested.fitem studentsfitem studentsstudentsfitem studentsstudentsstudentssubject, more issubject, more issubject, studentsfitem students <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>subject of organic</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>   |                 |            | subject of organic |            |               |                    |
| board gamesuggest thatexplores thealthough game-importance ofbased learninganalyzingmay increasechemicalstudents'mechanisms.confidence in thesubject, more isneeded to makethem moreinterested.fuel to the studentsfuel to the stu |                 |            | chemistry. This    |            |               | The findings       |
| explores thealthough game-importance ofbased learninganalyzingmay increasechemicalstudents'mechanisms.confidence in thesubject, more isneeded to makelearningthem moreinterested.students find   |                 |            | board game         |            |               | suggest that       |
| importance of based learning<br>analyzing may increase<br>chemical students'<br>mechanisms. confidence in the<br>subject, more is<br>needed to make<br>them more<br>interested.<br>Students find   |                 |            | explores the       |            |               | although game-     |
| analyzingmay increasechemicalstudents'mechanisms.confidence in thesubject, more issubject, more isneeded to makethem moreinterested.interested.Students findstudents find  |                 |            | importance of      |            |               | based learning     |
| chemicalstudents'mechanisms.confidence in thesubject, more issubject, more isneeded to makethem morethem seted.interested.Students findstudents find   |                 |            | analyzing          |            |               | may increase       |
| mechanisms.confidence in the<br>subject, more is<br>needed to make<br>them more<br>interested.Students find  |                 |            | chemical           |            |               | students'          |
| subject, more is<br>needed to make<br>them more<br>interested.<br>Students find  |                 |            | mechanisms.        |            |               | confidence in the  |
| needed to make<br>them more<br>interested.<br>Students find  |                 |            |                    |            |               | subject, more is   |
| them more<br>interested.<br>Students find  |                 |            |                    |            |               | needed to make     |
| interested.<br>Students find   |                 |            |                    |            |               | them more          |
| Students find  |                 |            |                    |            |               | interested.        |
|  |                 |            |                    |            |               | Students find      |
| games engaging   |                 |            |                    |            |               | games engaging     |
| and fun but also   |                 |            |                    |            |               | and fun but also   |
| unique,  |                 |            |                    |            |               | unique,            |
| competitive, and   |                 |            |                    |            |               | competitive, and   |
| collaborative.   |                 |            |                    |            |               | collaborative.     |
| Learning how to Gaggi, O., In this paper, we Case Study PadovaGoGre The severe game  | Learning how to | Gaggi, O., | In this paper, we  | Case Study | PadovaGoGre   | The severe game    |
| recycle waste et. have presented en, :serious proves its   | recycle waste   | et.        | have presented     |            | en, :serious  | proves its         |
| using a game al.(2020). and discussed game effectiveness in  | using a game    | al.(2020). | and discussed      |            | game          | effectiveness in   |
| PadovaGoGreen, achieving the   |                 |            | PadovaGoGreen,     |            |               | achieving the      |
| a serious game educational goal  |                 |            | a serious game     |            |               | educational goal   |
| developed to and allows users  |                 |            | developed to       |            |               | and allows users   |
| teach people how to improve their  |                 |            | teach people how   |            |               | to improve their   |
| to match the waste separation  |                 |            | to match the       |            |               | waste separation   |
| various types of skills.   |                 |            | various types of   |            |               | skills.            |
| waste with the   |                 |            | waste with the     |            |               |                    |
| corresponding  |                 |            | corresponding      |            |               |                    |
| trash can to   |                 |            | trash can to       |            |               |                    |
| increase waste   |                 |            | increase waste     |            |               |                    |
| recycling  |                 |            | recycling          |            |               |                    |
| effectiveness.   |                 |            | effectiveness.     |            |               |                    |
| Junkbox is aGizzi, V.,It is a game forCase StudyJunbox:In line with the  | Junkbox is a    | Gizzi, V., | It is a game for   | Case Study | Junbox:       | In line with the   |
| waste et. al children aged 3-5. EducationalGa data obtained  | waste           | et. al     | children aged 3-5. |            | EducationalGa | data obtained      |
| management (2019) It teaches them to me from the research,   | management      | (2019)     | It teaches them to |            | me            | from the research, |

| Title               | Authors/  | Purpose of Study   | Method | Game name/ | Results               |
|---------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------|------------|-----------------------|
|                     | Year      |                    |        | type       |                       |
| educational game    |           | separate           |        |            | it is stated that the |
| for preschool       |           | recyclable waste   |        |            | children who          |
| kids.               |           | and put it in the  |        |            | played the game       |
|                     |           | correct bin.       |        |            | enjoyed it and that   |
|                     |           |                    |        |            | the purpose of the    |
|                     |           |                    |        |            | research was          |
|                     |           |                    |        |            | achieved with the     |
|                     |           |                    |        |            | game. The game        |
|                     |           |                    |        |            | developed will        |
|                     |           |                    |        |            | help in waste         |
|                     |           |                    |        |            | separation and in     |
|                     |           |                    |        |            | getting more          |
|                     |           |                    |        |            | information on the    |
|                     |           |                    |        |            | subject.              |
| Considering         | Noroozi,  | The primary        |        | Inter Loc: | The results           |
| students'           | O. (2018) | purpose of this    |        | Digital    | showed that the       |
| epistemic beliefs   |           | study is to        |        | Dialogue   | digital dialogue      |
| to facilitate their |           | investigate how    |        | Game       | game could guide      |
| argumentative       |           | students           |        |            | students toward       |
| discourse and       |           | participate in     |        |            | an interactive and    |
| attitudinal change  |           | argumentative      |        |            | argumentative         |
| with a digital      |           | discourse through  |        |            | discourse style.      |
| dialogue game       |           | a digital dialogue |        |            | Students'             |
|                     |           | game. The second   |        |            | epistemic beliefs     |
|                     |           | aim is to shed     |        |            | are an essential      |
|                     |           | light on the       |        |            | factor in attitude    |
|                     |           | effects of         |        |            | change. The game      |
|                     |           | students'          |        |            | supported critical    |
|                     |           | epistemic beliefs  |        |            | reasoning and         |
|                     |           | on their           |        |            | discussion by         |
|                     |           | argumentative      |        |            | increasing            |
|                     |           | discourse in the   |        |            | students'             |
|                     |           | digital dialogue   |        |            | willingness to        |
|                     |           | game, and the      |        |            | discuss.              |
|                     |           | third aim is to    |        |            |                       |
|                     |           | investigate the    |        |            |                       |
|                     |           | role of students'  |        |            |                       |
|                     |           | epistemic beliefs  |        |            |                       |

| Title            | Authors/   | Purpose of Study   | Method      | Game name/ | Results           |
|------------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|
|                  | Year       |                    |             | type       |                   |
|                  |            | in their attitude  |             |            |                   |
|                  |            | changes.           |             |            |                   |
| The Effects of a | Noroozi O. | This study         | A pre-test, | Digital:   | The results show  |
| Digital Dialogue | (2016)     | explored how       | post-test   | Dialogue   | that the Digital  |
| Game on Higher   |            | undergraduate      | design      | game       | Dialogue Game     |
| Education        |            | students engage in |             |            | can facilitate    |
| Students'        |            | argumentation      |             |            | discussion-based  |
| Argumentation-   |            | discourse          |             |            | learning. The     |
| Based Learning   |            | activities         |             |            | Digital Dialogue  |
|                  |            | designed to        |             |            | Game was also     |
|                  |            | intensify the      |             |            | rated positively  |
|                  |            | debate.            |             |            | regarding student |
|                  |            |                    |             |            | satisfaction and  |
|                  |            |                    |             |            | learning          |
|                  |            |                    |             |            | experience.       |

Studies in the Literature have shown that the benefits of educational and digital games in an educational context are relatively compatible, and similar results have been reported. However, no study in the Literature examines the success of three different types of games in science education. The contributions that can be made with this study, which aims to determine the effect of educational and digital games on academic performance in science courses, are presented below.

- Contribute to the Literature on educational and digital games.
- Will be able to determine whether types of games affect academic performance in the science course.

## Method

## **Research Design**

The mixed method was employed in this study, which aimed to ascertain the impact of the educational and digital game method employed in the science course on students' academic performance in Humans and Environment and their evaluation of the process (Teddlie & Tashakkori, 2009). The mixed method, the process is executed by concurrently collecting and evaluating qualitative and quantitative data (Punch, 2005). This study employed the sequential explanatory method, a type of mixed method. In this method, quantitative data is collected and analyzed first, followed by the collection and analysis of qualitative data (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2011).

## Participants

The study was conducted with a group of 77 5th-grade students from a public school in Turkey who had an Internet connection. The students were around 10-11 years old and enrolled in four different 5th-grade science

classes taught by the same teacher. Each of the four 5th-grade classes was randomly assigned to one of the groups. Table 4 shows how they were distributed among the four groups.

| Groups                 | Group Definition                           | Number of Students |
|------------------------|--|--------------------|
| Experimental Group I   | Digital Games                              | 21                 |
| Experimental Group II  | Educational Games                          | 20                 |
| Experimental Group III | Educational and Digital Games' Combination | 18                 |
| Control Group          | Traditional Lecture                        | 18                 |

Table 4. Distribution of Participants by Groups

## **Data Collection Tool**

Academic Test

We used a questionnaire developed by Ekinci (2019) to measure students' achievement. The achievement test comprises 25 questions and measures secondary school students' science class achievement. For the reliability analysis of this test, which consisted of 25 items, the KR20 value was calculated using the TAP (Test Analysis Program). As a result of the analysis, it was determined that the reliability of the achievement test consisting of 25 multiple-choice question items was 100 (KR20 = 0.83). Since this calculated value is considerably higher than the lower value of 0.70 determined for achievement tests, it can be said that the test is reliable. *Interview* 

The second data collection tool employed in this research is the semi-structured interview form, designed to ascertain the students' perceptions regarding the efficacy of educational and digital games in the classroom. The researchers developed the interview form and subsequently reviewed it by two academics with expertise in science education, who provided feedback on the initial draft of the questions. This led to the creation of the first version of the interview questions. These interview questions were then applied to students who did not participate in the study. The questions that were not understood were edited with the feedback received from these students, and the final version of the questions was created with the guidance of the experts.

The interview questions prepared by the researcher to collect the qualitative data for the research are listed below:

- 1. Have you ever learned educational games?
- 2. What do you think about using educational/digital games in the lesson?

## Implementation

The study employed an experimental design. Students in the four groups were exposed to different teaching approaches (see Fig. 1). The efficacy of three distinct teaching methods, implemented in three experimental groups and one control group, was evaluated in terms of students' academic achievement. The study spanned five weeks, with four lessons per week. The research period was limited to 20 sessions.



Figure 1. Experimental Design for the Learning Activities

| Weeks | Educational  | Educational  | Digital      | Acquirements                                     |
|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|
|       | Games        | &Digital     | Games        |  |
|       | Group-II     | Games        |              |  |
|       |              | Group-III    | Group-I      |  |
|       |              |              |              |  |
| 1st   | Animal Farm  | Catch a mole | Catch a mole | The importance of biodiversity for natural life. |
| Week  |              | Animal Farm  |              |  |
|       |              |              |              |  |
| 2nd   | Scienceboard | Scienceboard | Space attack | The importance of biodiversity for natural life. |
| Week  |              | Space attack |              |  |
|       |              |              |              |  |
|       |              |              |              |  |
| 3th   | Gameboard    | Gameboard    | Space Sale   | The factors that threaten biodiversity           |
| Week  |              | Space Sale   |              |  |
|       |              |              |              | The importance of the interaction between        |
| 4nd   | Sciencebox   | Çevko-       | Recyclebus   | humans and the environment                       |
| Week  |              | Recycle      |              |  |
|       |              | Science box  |              |  |
|       |              |              |              |  |
| 5nd   | Taboo        | Taboo        | Falling!     | The importance of the interaction between        |
| Week  |              | Big Risk!    |              | humans and the environment                       |

| Table J. Information on the Game with Acquirements |
|--|
|--|



Gameboard



Sciencebox



Science-race



Gameboard



Sciencebox



Science-race





Catch a Mole



Space attack



**Space Sale** 







Çevko-Recycle

0



Figure 3. Digital Games Examples

Students in all groups started with the academic test as a pretest. Students of group I used digital games, while students of group II used educational games. Students of group III used a combination of educational games and digital games. Group IV was the control group and used the traditional lecture method. All four classes were taught by the same teacher. At the end of the study, the same academic test was given as a post-test. Game-play instructions and photographs taken while playing are given in the figures (Figure 2 and 3) regarding the activities for the educational and digital games experimental group.

#### **Data Analysis**

#### Quantitative Data Analysis

First, the data from the academic test were analyzed using an ANOVA that compared the pretest scores of the four groups. The homogeneity of variances test (Levene test) was checked before each ANOVA test—other assumptions (normality, independent observations, and sample independence). Then, paired-sample t-tests were conducted to compare the pretesting and post-test scores within the four groups to determine whether there were significant changes in academic achievement. Finally, an ANCOVA was used to compare all classes' post-test scores, taking the relevant pretesting scores as covariates. ANCOVA assumptions (normality, homogeneity, homogeneity of regression slopes, linearity, and independent observations) were checked before each ANCOVA test. All the statistical analyses were made using SPSS V22.0. All statistically significant results are reported at .05 level.

#### Qualitative Data Analysis

Data from semi-structured interviews with students in the experimental groups were analyzed using descriptive analysis, frequencies, and percentages, which are qualitative analysis techniques. Themes were created to explain the data in general with codes and to collect these codes under specific categories. To ensure the reliability of the research, the student interviews were coded under the supervision of an expert science educator.

#### **Results**

### **Comparison of the Pre-Test Scores**

All classes' pretesting scores were compared in a univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA). Two main assumptions were checked before using an ANOVA. One of them is that the distribution of each sample is normal, and the other is homogeneity of variances, which was tested using the Levene test.

| Groups                                  | Ν  | Mean+Sd    | Levene        | F     | р     |
|---|----|------------|---------------|-------|-------|
| Group-I Digital Games                   | 21 | 10.33±3.37 | 2.678 (0.053) | 0.432 | 0.731 |
| Group-II Educational Games              | 20 | 11.15±3.17 |               |       |       |
| Group-III Educational and Digital Games | 18 | 10.72±5.26 |               |       |       |
| Group-IV Control Group                  | 18 | 9.78±3.44  |               |       |       |

Table 6 Descriptive Statistics and the ANOVA Result of Students' Academic Achievement Pretesting

The ANOVA results showed no differences among the conditions on the pretest [F(3, 74) = 0,432; p >0.05].

#### **Comparison of the Pre- Post-test Scores**

Separate paired sample t-tests showed that each class significantly increased its mean score on the academic test. Table 7 shows the paired samples' t-test results for each condition.

|           |    | Pre-  | test | Post  | -test |         |        |
|-----------|----|-------|------|-------|-------|---------|--------|
|           | N  | М     | Sd   | М     | Sd    | t       | Sig.   |
| Group-I   | 21 | 10.33 | 3.37 | 15.86 | 2.89  | -10.455 | 0.000* |
| Group-II  | 20 | 11.15 | 3.17 | 15.25 | 3.85  | -10.006 | 0.000* |
| Group-III | 18 | 10.72 | 5.26 | 18.72 | 3.30  | -8.097  | 0.000* |
| Group-IV  | 18 | 9.78  | 3.44 | 12.94 | 3.57  | -7.210  | 0.000* |

Table 7. Paired Samples t-test Results for the Academic Test by Condition

According to the paired samples t-test results shown in Table 7, there is a significant difference in favor of the post-test in the Achievement test scores of Group-I, Group-II, and Group-III students before and after the implementation of educational and digital game-based activities (t=-10455;-10,006;-8,097;-7,210, p< .05). In other words, the academic achievement levels of experimental group students before and after the practices are different.

## **Comparison of the Post-test Scores**

ANCOVA analysis was used to eliminate the effect of pre-test results on post-test results. ANCOVA results are given in Table 8.

|                 |               |    |             | -       |         |            |
|-----------------|---------------|----|-------------|---------|---------|------------|
| Source          | Sum of Square | df | Mean Square | F       | р       | Eta square |
| Corrected Model | 731.465       | 4  | 182.866     | 35.293  | < 0.001 | 0.662      |
| İntercept       | 728.783       | 1  | 728.783     | 140.656 | < 0.001 | 0.661      |
| Pre Test        | 425.823       | 1  | 425.823     | 82.184  | < 0.001 | 0.533      |
| Group           | 260.469       | 3  | 86.823      | 16.757  | < 0.001 | 0.411      |
| Error           | 373.054       | 72 | 5.181       | -       | -       | -          |
| Total           | 20056.000     | 77 | -           | -       | -       | -          |
| Corrected total | 1104.519      | 76 |             | -       | -       | -          |

Table 8. ANCOVA Results of the Post-test Points Corrected by the Pre-test Scores

Levene's test analyzed whether the error variances were homogeneous between the groups. According to the result of Levene's test, it was concluded that the error variances were homogeneous between the groups (p=0.565>0.05). Whether the standardized residuals satisfy the assumption of normal distribution was examined by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and the errors satisfy the assumption of normal distribution (p=0.194>0.05). When the

results given in Table 8 were analyzed, the difference between the group averages was statistically significant (F=16.757, p<0.001). The effect of pre-test scores on post-test scores was significant (F=82.184, p<0.001).

The finding revealed that the classes differed on the post-test scores [F(3, 74) = 16,757; p = .0001, partial q 2 = 0.411].In other words, the post-test scores were significantly different due to the different teaching methods. Furthermore, post hoc analysis was performed to examine specific differences in achievement between the groups (see Table 9). A Tukey's HSD post hoc test revealed that Group III's scores were significantly higher than those of Group II and Group I.

|  | Group       | Standard I | Deviation | Sig. (2- tailed) |
|--|-------------|------------|-----------|------------------|
|  | Educational | 1.118      | 0.713     | 0.729            |
| Digital Games (Group I)                    | Combination | -2.622     | 0.732     | < 0.004          |
|  | Control     | 2.565      | 0.732     | < 0.004          |
|  | Digital     | -1.118     | 0.713     | 0.729            |
| Educational Games (Group II)               | Combination | -3.740     | 0.740     | < 0.001          |
|  | Control     | 1.447      | 0.746     | < 0.004          |
| Educational and Digital Games' combination | Digital     | 2.622      | 0.732     | < 0.004          |
| (Group III)                                | Educational | 3.740      | 0.740     | < 0.001          |
|  | Control     | 5.187      | 0.762     | < 0.001          |
|  | Digital     | -2.565     | 0.732     | < 0.004          |
| Control Group                              | Educational | -1.447     | 0.746     | < 0.004          |
|  | Combination | -5.187     | 0.762     | < 0.001          |

Table 9. Post-hoc Comparisons for the Academic Achievement Test

## **Interview Results**

Regarding the research question, what are the students' remarks on the process of classes with educational games? Initially, "Have you ever learned with educational games?" was asked, and 20 students expressed that they had never had any lessons through games. The frequency levels of the students' answers are presented in Table 10.

| $T_{11} = 10$ A $(1 - 0) = 1$      | UTT                             |                       | 1. (            |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Table 10. Answers to the Student's | "Have you ever used educational | games in your lessons | before Question |
|                                    |                                 |                       | ~               |

| "Have you ever learned with | Educational Games | Digital Games | F  | Percentage % |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|----|--------------|
| educational games?"         |                   |               |    |              |
| Yes                         | 4                 | 2             | 6  | 30           |
| No                          | 6                 | 8             | 14 | 70           |

70% of students said they had not previously used educational or digital games in their lessons. 30% of the students stated that they had previously received education supported by educational games. While only 4 of these students stated that they had experienced educational games, two said they played educational and digital games. Later,

the students were asked, "What do you think about using educational/digital games in the lesson?" The answers are listed in Table 11.

|             | ~                            |             |         |           |                |
|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|---------|-----------|----------------|
| Theme       | Category                     | Educational | Digital | Total (f) | Percentage (%) |
|             |                              | Game        | Game    |           |                |
| Cognitive   | Make it easier to understand | 7           | 5       | 12        | 60             |
|             | Repetition                   | 4           | 3       | 7         | 35             |
|             | Reinforcement of the topic   | 5           | 2       | 7         | 35             |
|             | Permanent Learning           | 6           | 4       | 10        | 50             |
|             | Ease of remembering          | 8           | 5       | 13        | 65             |
| Sentimental | Excited                      | 8           | 10      | 18        | 90             |
|             | Motivation                   | 6           | 5       | 11        | 55             |
|             | Fun                          | 8           | 8       | 16        | 80             |
|             | Eager/ Interest              | 5           | 4       | 9         | 45             |

Table 11. The Frequency of Students' Answers to the Question, "What do you think about using educational/digital games in the lesson?"

60KEnK3 Jers tok gezeldi gyun syngvik e ogrendily fay dall olde igretici, eglenceli, hey canlı olması daha qizel Keske diver bitin dersterin hepsi olsa daha gezddi eggiel oyra uzayli eyrauydu Konvlar oyen oynayarak dahagezed olur daha bu oyunlar daha tarkli iy; aller,2 daha heycanholur du sozyelde byekike synupolus basen yazı jazmanız ve KONYU BIEN Mekigin Erst Menimizi Vinlehre





Student 10

itse 0 der celind +1 01 Jarismasi 000 ders ige Vabi men 9-201 dea teDe JAMacad az 104 a yradim olsun ana

Student 6

Dealach 90 x 44.21 1 earling 1 prite Dors 2. Deroke exte istil almali disim12 de gentisme m 121 WIMI Taylok Cak Saglar acildufi Onyn Aller Open cak Odrenilialling del 1112 alur leal of Qui

Student 11

Figure 4. Examples of Student Views

The answers to the question "What do you think about using educational/digital games in the lesson?" were categorized under two themes: cognitive aspects and sentimental. It was determined that the students who

expressed make it easier to understand (60%), Repetition (35%), Permanent Learning (50%), ease of remembering (65%), and Reinforcement (35%) through cognitive aspects. In terms of sentimental aspects, it was determined that the students who expressed educational games made the lesson process exciting (90%), motivating (55%), fun (80%), and interesting (45%).

Student S1 stated, "I had much fun and was looking forward to the next lesson. "When it was my turn, I wanted to play as soon as possible; I was so happy."

Student T7 stated, "I had difficulties in some subjects in science class, but I learned more easily with games."

S 12 student said, "It was easier to learn by playing games. I was stressed initially, but I thought it was more enjoyable."

Student S18 stated the following: "I got excited when I competed with my friends; the lesson was more enjoyable that way. Sometimes science class can be tedious, but I liked it better this way.

## Discussion

This study aimed to investigate the impact of different types of games on the academic achievement of secondary school students. The results showed that the digital and non-digital game-based group outperformed the traditional lecture group. Several empirical studies have found that students in game-based learning classrooms show better learning performance than students in traditional learning classrooms (Arztmann et al., 2023; McLaren et al., 2017; Riopel et. 2019; Tsai ve Tsai, 2020; Wang et al., 2022). It was observed that the students trained through game-based learning were more successful than those in the control group trained through traditional methods. Therefore, it is believed that using games to teach can be more beneficial in different educational settings (Cavus et al., 2011; Giannakos, 2013; Mayo, 2009; Meluso et al., 2012).

Students who utilize GBL demonstrate enhanced learning outcomes compared to those who do not. The effect of game-based learning on student achievement is similar to previous studies (Baran et al., 2018; Kaya & Elgun, 2015; Keçeci et al., 2021; Mc Laren et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018; Yıldız et al., 2016). Consequently, the integration of GBL may have a favorable impact on student's academic performance (Chen, PY. et al. 2022).

According to Morrison et al. (2019), students need opportunities to use and transfer their acquired knowledge, skills, and practical experience. The possible explanation for the lower success of students in the control group is that traditional teaching methods need to give students more opportunities to actively apply the knowledge they have learned, which reduces their ability to learn and supports their development. From this perspective, games, regardless of the type of game, have a positive effect on student achievement.

Another result of the study is that there is no significant difference in students' science learning between digital and non-digital play groups. The results show that digital and non-digital games have similar positive effects on students' science learning. In the study conducted by Talan et al. (2020), it was seen that the highest overall effect size in terms of game types played was in non-digital games. Some research findings in the Literature report that non-digital games may provide more benefits than digital games (Edwards, 2014; Ernest et al., 2014; Talan et. al, 2020; Yang&Chen, 2023; von Gillern & Alaswad, 2016).

The results of this study show that the students who participated in the experimental group (Group -III), where educational and digital games were used together, were more successful. The students in this group are believed to be more successful than the other experimental groups in terms of academic achievement due to the use of educational and digital games. Another reason for the students' higher academic achievement in the experimental group (experimental group-III), in which educational and digital games were integrated, can be attributed to Vygotsky's (social constructivism) theory and Bandura's social learning theory.

The theory (Bandura, 1986) emphasizes that students can learn most of their emotional, cognitive, social, and psychomotor learning skills more effectively through observation. It is also stated that the student's interaction with his friends and teacher during observation contributes to developing cognitive functions (Bandura, 1986). Play is believed to influence students' learning greatly (Russ, 2003; Zabelina & Robinson, 2010). Children can express themselves through play and gain experiences to structure their knowledge.

Based on these learning approaches, it can be said that the students who participated in the course conducted with a combination of digital and non-digital educational games were more successful than the students in other groups in gaining experience through observation, practice, communication, and information. In this context, combining digital and non-digital educational games in science education can lead to more effective learning results. We can attribute the reasons why the students in the experimental group, where digital and non-digital educational games were used together, had higher academic achievement scores than the other groups to the fact that they interact with each other, observe the process, and are in constant communication.

According to the types of games played, the highest overall average score belongs to the group of games (Experimental Group-III) in which combinations of digital and non-digital games are used together. It was concluded that combining digital and non-digital games may be more effective in students' development and learning, as these games offer more opportunities for peer-to-peer interaction, a more comprehensive range of activities, greater flexibility in content, and the opportunity to learn in different environments. An assessment of the related Literature shows that studies have been conducted to examine the effects of educational and digital games on students' motivation, engagement, self-efficacy, and cognitive develop in science education rather than comparing the effects of different game types on achievement (Chen et al., 2019; Hung et al., 2014; Domínguez et al., 2013; Li & Tsai, 2013; Nietfeld et al., 2014; Wang & Zheng, 2021).

A review of the relevant literature reveals a need for more research comparing the effects of using these three games on students' science achievement. De Freitas (2007), it is clear that the lack of empirical data supporting game-based learning is one of the main obstacles to adopting games in education. This situation has prevented understanding how to integrate games into the educational environment and how to use them most effectively (Hartt, 2020). This renders the current study's findings of significant importance in this context.

## Interview

As part of the qualitative part of the study, semi-structured interviews were conducted with the students in the

experimental groups. The results showed that most students were satisfied with using educational and digital games in science class. It was noted during the interviews that the students in the two groups included in the gaming experiment had similar thoughts. When the interviews with the experimental group students who were educated with digital games were examined, it was received that "make it easier to understand," "fun," and "excitement" had high frequencies. In the interviews conducted with the experimental group of students who were educated with educational game activities, the codes of "Ease of remembering," "fun," and "motivation" came to the fore. In addition, all these content codes are consistent in both experimental groups and support the game-based learning approach applied in this study. Interviews with students showed that they enjoyed science lessons with educational and digital game methods and wanted to use these game types in other lessons. Game-based learning increases course success, reduces anxiety, and provides a fun learning environment (Lim et al., 2006). The results of this study align with those of previous studies in the field, which have consistently demonstrated the positive impact of digital and non-digital features of games compared to traditional teaching (Alrehaili & Al Osman, 2019; Chen et al., 2019; Chen, 2020; Partovi et al., 2019; Su & Cheng, 2015)

## Conclusions

The results of this study demonstrated that both educational games and digital games had a positive effect on students' thoughts about science classes. There was no significant difference between the students' thoughts in the experimental group, but they had similar views. The study's findings concluded that educational and digital games positively affected the students' success in the 5<sup>th</sup>-grade science class. Student interviews further supported and clarified this finding. The current study suggests several recommendations for researchers, educators, and future developers. This study demonstrated that educational and digital games improved pupil achievement in the "Human and environment" subject. Future research can analyze the effect of educational games on students' performance in various units. Moreover, if larger sample sizes and more extended implementation periods are used, it might be able to generalize this beneficial effect. Due to the advantages of educational and digital games, scientists and educational game developers must continue developing and producing new digital games that support science teaching. Consequently, developing more educational and digital games for teaching science can improve the quality of science education.

## Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by Yildiz Technical University Scientific Research Projects Coordination Unit under project number FDK-2022- 4853.

#### References

Alıcı, D. (2016). A research on the effects of educational games in science and technology courses on students' academic achievement and knowledge retention (Master's thesis). Kahramanmaras, Sutcu Imam University, Kahramanmaras, Turkey

Alrehaili, E.A., & Osman, H.A. (2019). A virtual reality role-playing serious game for experiential

learning. Interactive Learning Environments, 30, 922-935.

- Anastasiadis, T., Lampropoulos, G., & Siakas, K. (2018). Digital Game-based Learning and Serious Games in Education. International Journal of Advances in Scientific Research and Engineering, 4(12), 139– 144. https://doi.org/10.31695/ijasre.2018.33016
- Arnold, M., North, B., Fischer, H., Mueller, J., & Diab, M. (2021). Game-Based Learning in Vet Schools: A Learning Architecture for Educators in Vocational Education. *INTED2021 Proceedings*, 1(April), 3297– 3303. https://doi.org/10.21125/inted.2021.0692
- Arztmann, M., Domínguez Alfaro, J. L., Hornstra, L., Jeuring, J., & Kester, L. (2023). In-game performance: The role of students' socioeconomic status, self-efficacy, and situational interest in an augmented reality game. British Journal of Educational Technology, 00, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13395
- Azizan, I. D., Alias, M., & Mustafa, M. Z. (2021). Effect of game-based learning in vehicle air-conditioning course on cognitive and affective skills of vocational students. *Journal of Technical Education and Training*, 13(3), 146–154. https://doi.org/10.30880/jtet.2021.13.03.014
- Balakrishnan Nair, B. (2021). Endorsing gamification pedagogy as a helpful strategy to offset the COVID-19induced disruptions in tourism education. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport and Tourism Education, September*, 100362. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhlste.2021.100362
- Bandura, A. (1986). Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. Prentice-Hall Inc.
- Baran, M., Maskan, A., & Yaşar, Ş. (2018). I was learning physics through project-based learning game techniques. *International Journal of Instruction*, 11(2), 221–234.
- Bodrova, E., & Leong, D. J. (2015). Vygotskian and post-Vygotskian views on children's play. American
- Boghian, I., Cojocariu, V. M., Popescu, C. V., & Mâță, L. (2019). Game-based learning. Using board games in adult education. *Journal of Educational Sciences & Psychology*, 9(1).
- Botes, W. (2022). Pre-Service Teachers' Experiences on the Development of Educational Science Board Games. European Journal of STEM Education, 7(1), 02. https://doi.org/10.20897/ejsteme/11784
- Breien, F. S., & Wasson, B. (2021). Narrative categorization in digital game-based learning: Engagement, motivation & learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 52(1), 91-111.
- Brezovszky, B., McMullen, J., Veermans, K., Hannula-Sormunen, M.M., Rodríguez-Aflecht, G., Pongsakdi, N., Laakkonen, E., & Lehtinen, E. (2019). Effects of a mathematics game-based learning environment on primary school students' adaptive number knowledge. *Comput. Educ.*, 128, 63-74.
- Cardinot, A., & Fairfield, J.A. (2019). Game-Based Learning to Engage Students With Physics and Astronomy Using a Board Game. Int. J. Game Based Learn., pp. 9, 42–57.
- Cavuş, R., Kulak, B., Berk, H., & Öztuna Kaplan, A. (2011). Game activities in science and technology teaching and adaptation of games in daily life. Science and Technology Teachers Summit, 26 Mart, İstanbul
- Chang, K. E., Wu, L. J., Weng, S. E., & Sung, Y. T. (2012). Embedding game-based problem-solving phase into problem-posing system for mathematics learning. *Computers & Education*, 58(2), 775–786.
- Chen, C. H. (2020). Impacts of augmented reality and a digital game on students' science learning with reflection prompts in multimedia learning. Educational Technology Research and Development, 68(6), 3057–3076
- Chen, C. K., Huang, N. T. N., & Hwang, G. J. (2019). Findings and implications of flipped science learning research: A review of journal publications. Interactive Learning Environments. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/10494820.2019.1690528

- Chen, M. H., Tseng, W. T., & Hsiao, T. Y. (2018). The effectiveness of digital game-based vocabulary learning: A framework-based view of meta-analysis. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 49(1), 69–77.
- Chen, PY., Hwang, GJ., Yeh, SY. *et al.* (2022). Three decades of game-based learning in science and mathematics education: an integrated bibliometric analysis and systematic review. *J. Comput. Educ.* **9**, 455–476. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40692-021-00210-y
- Chian-Wen, K. A. O. (2014). The effects of digital game-based learning task in English as a foreign language contexts: A meta-analysis. 42(2), 113–141.
- Chu, H.-C., & Hung, C.-M. (2015). Effects of the Digital Game-Development Approach on Elementary School Students' Learning Motivation, Problem Solving, and Learning Achievement. *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies*, 13(1), 87–102. doi:10.4018/ijdet.2015010105
- Cicchino, M. I. (2015). Using game-based learning to foster critical thinking in student discourse. Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning, 9(2), 1–18. doi:10.7771/1541-5015.1481
- Clark, D.B., Tanner-Smith, E.E.& Killingsworth, S.S. (2016). Digital Games, Design, and Learning: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(1), 79– 122.https://doi.org/10.3102/003465431558206
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2011). Designing and conducting mixed methods research. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Crocco, F., Offenholley, K., & Hernandez, C. (2016). A proof-of-concept study of game-based learning in higher education. *Simulation & Gaming*, *47*(4), 403-422.
- De Freitas, S. (2006). Learning in Immersive worlds: A review of game-based learning.
- De Freitas, S. (2018). Are games effective learning tools? A review of educational games. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 21(2), 74-84.
- Deng, L., Wu, S., Chen, Y., & Peng, Z. (2019). Digital game-based learning in a Shanghai primary-school mathematics class: A case study. J. Comput. Assist. Learn., 36, 709-717.
- Dimitra, K., Konstantinos, K., Christina, Z., & Katerina, T. (2020). Types of Game-Based Learning in Education: A brief state of the art and the implementation in Greece. *The European Educational Researcher*, 3(2), 87–100. https://doi.org/10.31757/euer.324
- Dimitrios, B., Labros, S., Nikolaos, K., Koutiva, M., & Athanasios, K. (2013). Traditional teaching methods vs. teaching through the application of information and communication technologies in the accounting field: Quo Vadis?. European Scientific Journal, 9(28)
- Dominguez, A., Saenz-de-Navarrete, J., de-Marcos, L., Fernandez-Sanz, L., Pages, C., & Martinez-Herraiz, J. (2013). Gamifiying learning experiences: Practical implications and outcomes. Computers & Education, 63, 380–392 doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2012.12.020
- Edwards, S. (2014). Towards contemporary play: Sociocultural theory and the digital-consumerist context. Journal of Early Childhood Research, 12(3), 219–233. doi:10.1177/1476718X14538596
- Ekinci, R. (2019). Effect of Different Teaching Methods on Academic Achievement, Attitudes Towards Science and Environment in The Human and Environment Relationship Unit. (Master Thesis, Bolu Abant İzzet Baysal University)
- Ernest, J. M., Causey, C., Newton, A. B., Sharkins, K., Summerlin, J., & Albaiz, N. (2014). Extending the global dialogue about media, technology, screen time, and young children. Childhood Education, 90(3), 182–

191. doi:10. 1080/00094056.2014.910046

- Frost, J.L., Wortham, S.C. & Reifel, S. (2008). Play and Child Development. (3rd Ed.). Upper Saddle River NJ: Pearson Merrill/Prentice-Hall.
- Gaggi, O., Meneghello, F., Palazzi, C. E., & Pante, G. (2020). Learning how to recycle waste using a game. Proceedings of the 6th EAI International Conference on Smart Objects and Technologies for Social Good. doi:10.1145/3411170.341125
- Games, S., & De Carvalho, C. V. (2022). Game-Based Learning, Gamification in Education and Serious Games. *Computers*, 11(36), 1–4.
- Gao, F., Li, L., & Sun, Y. (2020). A systematic review of mobile game-based learning in STEM education. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 68(4), 1791– 1827. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09787-0
- Garris, R., Ahlers, R., & Driskell, J. E. (2002). "Games, motivation, and learning: A research and practice model." *Simulation & gaming*, 33(4), 441-467.
- Gee JP (2007). What video games have to teach us about learning and literacy (Revised and updated edition). Palgrave Macmillan, New York
- Giannakos, M. N. (2013). Enjoy and learn with educational games: Examining factors affecting learning performance. *Computers & Education*, 68, 429–439
- Gizzi, V., Dio, S.D., & Schillaci, D. (2019). Junkbox is a waste management educational game for preschool kids. *IxD&A*, 40.
- Gui, Y., Cai, Z., Yang, Y. et al. Effectiveness of digital educational game and game design in STEM learning: a meta-analytic review. IJ STEM Ed 10, 36 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-023-00424-9
- Gurpinar, C. (2017). The impact of pedagogical play-assisted teaching applications on learning outputs in science teaching (Master's thesis). Kırıkkale University, Kırıkkale, Turkey.
- Hamari, J., Shernoff, D. J., Rowe, E., Coller, B., Asbell-Clarke, J. & Edwards, T. (2016). Challenging games help students learn: An empirical study on engagement, flow, and immersion in game-based learning. *Computers in Human Behavior*, pp. 54, 170–179.
- Hamzeh, F., Theokaris, C., Rouhana, C., & Abbas, Y. (2017). Application of hands-on simulation games to improve classroom experience. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 42(5), 471-481.
- Hanus, M., & Fox, J. (2015). Assessing the effects of gamification in the classroom: A longitudinal study on intrinsic motivation, social comparison, satisfaction, effort, and academic performance. *Computers & Education*, pp. 80, 152–161. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2014.08.019.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.12.019

- Huizenga, J. C., Ten Dam, G. T., Voogt, J. M., & Admiraal, W. F. (2017). Teacher perceptions of the value of game-based learning in secondary education. *Computers & Education*, 110, 105-115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.03.008
- Huizenga, J., Admiraal, W., Akkerman, S., & Dam, G. (2009). Mobile game-based learning in secondary education: Engagement, motivation and learning in a mobile city game. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 25(4), 332–344. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2009.00316.x.
- Hung, C. Y., Sun, J. C. Y., & Yu, P. T. (2015). The benefits of a challenge: Student motivation and flow experience in tablet-PC-game-based learning. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 23(2), 172–190

- Hussein, M. H., Ow, S. H., Cheong, L. S., Thong, &Ale Ebrahim, N. (2019). "Effects of Digital Game-Based Learning on Elementary Science Learning: A Systematic Review," in IEEE Access, vol. 7, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2916324.
- Hwang, G. J., Hung, C. M., & Chen, N. S. (2014). Improving learning achievements, motivations, and problemsolving skills through a peer assessment-based game development approach. *Educational technology research and development*, 62, 129-145. İstanbul.
- J.L. Plass, B.D. Homer, C.K. Kinzer Foundations of game-based learning.Educ. Psychol., 50 (2015), pp. 258– 283, 10.1080/00461520.2015.1122533
- Jayasinghe, U., & Dharmaratne, A. (2013). Game-based learning vs. gamification from the higher education students' perspective. Proceedings of 2013 IEEE International Conference on Teaching, Assessment and Learning for Engineering, TALE 2013, January 2016, 683– 688. https://doi.org/10.1109/TALE.2013.6654524
- Jurakulovna, T. M., Shavkatovna, R. G., Xakimovna, G. D., & Zoirovna, J. S. (2022). Organization of the process of preschool education and upbringing based on a student-centered approach. International Journal of Early Childhood, 14(03), 2022.
- Kalogiannakis, M.; Papadakis, S.; Zourmpakis, A.-I. Gamification in Science Education. A Systematic Review of the Literature. Educ. Sci. 2021, 11, 22. https:// doi.org/10.3390/educsci11010022
- Kapp, K. (2012). The Gamification of Learning and Instruction. Game-Based Methods and Strategies for Training and Education. Pfeiffer, San Francisco, CA.
- Kaya, S., & Elgün, A. (2015). The influence of instructional games in science teaching on primary students' achievement. *Kastamonu Journal of Education*, 23(1), 329–342.
- Ke, F. (2016). Designing and integrating purposeful learning in game play A systematic review. Educational Technology Research and Development, pp. 64, 219–244.
- Kececi, G., Yıldırım, P. &Kırbag Z., F. (2021). Opinions of secondary school students on the use of mobile augmented reality technology in science teaching. Journal of Science Learning, 4(4), 327-336. https://doi.org/10.17509/jsl.v4i4.32310
- Khan, A., Ahmad, F., & Malik, M. M. (2017). Use of digital game based learning and gamification in secondary school science: The effect on student engagement, learning and gender difference. *Education & Information Technologies*, 22(6), 2767–2804. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-017-9622-1
- Klopfer, E., & Thompson, M. (2020). 16 Game-Based Learning in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics. *Handbook of game-based learning*, 387.
- Lasala, N.J. (2023). EDUTainment: Effectiveness of Game-based Activities in Teaching Ecosystem Topics. *Recoletos Multidisciplinary Research Journal*.
- Lester, J. C., Spires, H. A., Nietfeld, J. L., Minogue, J., Mott, B. W., & Lobene, E. V. (2014). Designing gamebased learning environments for elementary science education: A narrative-centered learning perspective. Information Sciences, 264, 4-18.
- Li, M. C., & Tsai, C. C. (2013). Game-based learning in science education: A review of relevant research. *Journal* of Science Education and Technology, 22(6), 877–898.
- Li, Y., Huang, Z., Jiang, M., & Ting-Wen, C. (2016). The effect on pupils' science performance and problem solving ability through Lego: An engineering design-based modeling approach. Journal of Educational

Technology & Society, 19(3), 143-156.

- Liao, Y. K. (2010, March). Game-based learning vs. traditional instruction: A meta-analysis of thirty-eight studies from Taiwan. In *Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference* (pp. 1491-1498). Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE).
- Lim, C. P., Nonis, D., & Hedberg, J. (2006). Gaming in a 3D multiuser virtual environment: Engaging students in science lessons. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 37(2), 211-231.
- Lin, C. H., Liu, E. Z. F., Chen, Y. L., Liou, P. Y., Chang, M., Wu, C. H., & Yuan, S. M. (2013). Game-based remedial instruction in mastery learning for upper-primary school students. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 16(2), 271-281
- Linaza, J. (1984). Piaget's Marbles: The study of children's games and their knowledge of rules. *Oxford Review* of Education, 10(3), 271–274.
- Maxwell Hartt, Hadi Hosseini & Mehrnaz Mostafapour (2020). Game On: Exploring the Effectiveness of Gamebased Learning, Planning Practice & Research, DOI: 10.1080/02697459.2020.1778859
- Mayo, M. J. (2007). Games for science and engineering education. Communications of the ACM, 50(7), 30-35.
- Mayo, M. J. (2009). Video games: A route to large-scale STEM education Science, 323(5910), 79-82.
- McLaren, B. M., Adams, D. M., Mayer, R. E., & Forlizzi, J. (2017). A computer-based game that promotes mathematics learning more than a conventional approach. *International Journal of Game-Based Learning (IJGBL)*, 7(1), 36–56. https://doi.org/10.4018/IJGBL.2017010103
- Meluso, A., Zheng, M., Spires, H., & Lester, J. (2012). Enhancing 5th Graders' Science Content Knowledge and
- Morrison, G. R., Ross, S. J., Morrison, J. R., & Kalman, H. K. (2019). Designing effective instruction. Wiley.
- Nietfeld, J. L., Shores, L. R., & Hofmann, K. F. (2014). Self-regulation and gender within a game-based
- Nkadimeng M and Ankiewicz P. (2022). The Affordances of Minecraft Education as a Game-Based Learning Tool for Atomic Structure in Junior High School Science Education. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*. 10.1007/s10956-022-09981-0. **31**:5. (605-620). Online publication date: 1-Oct-2022.
- Noroozi O., McAlister S., Mulder M. (2016). Impacts of a digital dialogue game and epistemic beliefs on argumentative discourse and willingness to argue. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 17(3), 208–230. https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v17i3.2297
- Noroozi, O. (2016). 'The Effects of a Digital Dialogue Game on Higher Education Students' Argumentation-Based Learning.' World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, Open Science Index 120, International Journal of Educational and Pedagogical Sciences, 10(12), 4062–4065.
- Noroozi, O. (2018). Considering students' epistemic beliefs to facilitate their argumentative discourse and attitudinal change with a digital dialogue game. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 55(3), 357–365.
- Noroozi, O., Dehghanzadeh, H., & Talaee, E. (2020). A systematic review on the impacts of game-based learning on argumentation skills. *Entertainment Computing*, *35*, 100369.
- Oblinger D (2004). The next generation of educational engagement. J Interact Media Educ 8. Retrieved from http://jime.open.ac.uk/jime/article/viewArticle/2004-8-oblinger/198
- Oliveira, R. P., de Souza, C. G., Reis, A. da C., & de Souza, W. M. (2021). Gamification in e-learning and sustainability: A theoretical framework. In *Sustainability (Switzerland)* (Vol. 13, Issue 21). https://doi.org/10.3390/su132111945

- Pan, Y., Ke, F.(2023). Effects of game-based learning supports on students' math performance and perceived game flow. *Education Tech Research Dev* 71, 459–479. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-022-10183-z
- Pan, Y., Ke, F., & Xu, X. (2022). A systematic review of the role of learning games in fostering mathematics education in

K12settings. EducationalResearchReview, 36(100448. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2022.100448

- Partovi, T., & Razavi, M.R. (2019). The effect of game-based learning on academic achievement motivation of elementary school students. *Learning and Motivation*.
- Prensky, M. (2001). Fun, play, and games: What makes games engaging. *Digital Game-Based Learning*, 5(1), 5–31
- Prensky, M. (2003). Digital game-based learning. Computers in Entertainment (CIE), 1(1), 21-21.
- Punch, K. (2005). *Introduction to Social Research Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches*. (2nd ed.) SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Qian, M., & Clark, K. R. (2016). Game-based Learning and 21st century skills: A review of recent research. *Computers in Human Behavior, pp. 63,* 50–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.05.023
   Qualitative approached in the social and behavioral sciences. London: SAGE
- Ramaila, S., & Mpinga, N. (2022). The Effect of Digital Learning on the Academic Achievement and Motivation of Natural Sciences Learners: A Case Study of a South African Independent School. *International Journal of Higher Education*.
- Riopel, M., Nenciovici, L., Potvin, P., Chastenay, P., Charland, P., Sarrasin, J. B., & Masson, S. (2019). Impact of serious games on science learning achievement compared with more conventional instruction: An overview and a meta-analysis. Studies in Science Education, 55, 169–214. https://doi.org/10.1080/03057 267.2019.1722420
- Roodt, S., & Ryklief, Y. (2019). Using digital game-based learning to improve the academic efficiency of vocational education students. *International Journal of Game-Based Learning*, 9(4), 45– 69. https://doi.org/10.4018/IJGBL.2019100104
- Russ, S. W. (2003). Play and creativity: Developmental issues. Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research, pp. 47, 291–303
- Sezgin, S. (2016). Gamification of learning and teaching: Game-based methods and strategies for study and education. *Journal of Open Education Applications and Research*-AuAd, 2(1), 187–197.
- Song, D., Karimi, A., & Kim, P. (2016). A Remotely Operated Science Experiment framework for underresourced schools. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 24(7), 1706–1724.
- Su, C-H., & Cheng, C-H. (2015). A mobile gamification learning system for improving learning motivation and achievements. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 31(3), 268– 286. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12088
- Su, H. L., & Hsieh, H. Y. (2006). The study of science games incorporated into elementary school natural science and technology instructions on problem-solving ability. *Journal of Scientific and Technological Studies*, 40(1), 47-68.
- Sung, H. Y., & Hwang, G. J. (2013). A collaborative game-based learning approach to improving students' learning performance in science courses. Computers & Education, 63, 43-51.
- Talan T, Doğan Y & Batdı V. (2020). Efficiency of digital and non-digital educational games: A comparative

meta-analysis and a meta-thematic analysis, Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 52:4, 474–514, DOI: 10.1080/15391523.2020.1743798

- Teddlie, C. & Tashakkori, A. (2009). Foundations of mixed methods research: Integrating quantitative and
- Terri, F. (2014). *Mathematics achievement with digital game-based learning in high school algebra one classes*. (Doctoral Dissertations and Projects) (p. 794). http://digitalcommons.liberty.edu/doctoral/794
- Tokaç, Ü., Novak, E., & Thompson, C. G. (2018). Effects of game-based learning on students' mathematics achievement: A meta-analysis. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning.
- Tomlinson, B., & Masuhara, H. (2009). Playing to learn: A review of physical games in second language acquisition. Simulation & Gaming, 20(10), 1–26. doi:10.1177/1046878109339969
- Tsai, Y. L., & Tsai, C. C. (2020). A meta-analysis of research on digital game-based science learning. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 36(3), 280–294. https://publons.com/publon/10.1111/jcal.12430
- Vlachopoulos, D., & Makri, A. (2017). The effect of games and simulations on higher education: a systematic literature review. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 14*.
- von Gillern, S., & Alaswad, Z. (2016). Games and game-based learning in instructional design. The International Journal of Technologies in Learning, 23(4), 1–7.
- Wang, J., Stebbins, A., & Ferdig, R. E. (2022). Examining the effects of students' self-efficacy and prior knowledge on learning and visual behavior in a physics game. Computers & Education, 178, 104405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104405
- Wang, L.-H., Chen, B., Hwang, G.-J., Guan, J.-Q., & Wang, Y.-Q. (2022). Effects of digital game-based STEM education on students' learning achievement: A meta-analysis. International Journal of STEM Education, 9(1), 1–13. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1186/ s40594- 022- 00344-0
- Wang, M., & Zheng, X. (2021). Using game-based learning to support learning science: A Study with middle school students. *The Asia-Pacifc Education Researcher*, 30(2), 167–176.
- Wang, M., & Zheng, X. (2021). Using game-based learning to support learning science: A study with middle school students. The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher, 30(2), 167–176.
- Wang, S. H., Chang, S. C., Hwang, G. J., & Chen, P. Y. (2018). A microworld-based role-playing game development approach to engaging students in interactive, enjoyable, and effective mathematics learning. Interactive Learning Environments, 26(3), 411–423. doi:10.1080/10494820.2017.1337038
- Whitton, N. (2010). Game engagement theory and adult learning. Simulation & Gaming, pp. 42, 596-609.
- Wouters, P., Van Nimwegen, C., Van Oostendorp, H., & Van Der Spek, E. D. (2013). A meta-analysis of the cognitive and motivational effects of serious games. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 105(2), 249.
- Wu, W. H., Hsiao, H. C., Wu, P. L., Lin, C. H., & Huang, S. H. (2012). Investigating the learning-theory foundations of game-based learning: A meta-analysis. Journal of Computer-Assisted learning, pp. 28, 265–279
- Xie, J., Wang, M., & Hooshyar, D. (2021). Student, parent, and teacher perceptions towards digital educational games: How they differ and influence each other. Knowledge Management & E-Learning, 13(2), 142– 160. https://doi.org/10.34105/j.kmel.2021.13.008
- Xiong, Z., Liu, Q., & Huang, X. (2022). The influence of digital educational games on preschool Children's creative thinking. *Comput. Educ.*, 189, 104578.
- Yang, K., & Chen, C.Y. (2023). Effects of non-digital games integrated with digital games for advancing fifth

graders' spatial reasoning abilities. Educ. Inf. Technol., 29, 6341-6356.

- Yang, Y. T. C., & Chang, C. H. (2013). Empowering students through digital game authorship: Enhancing concentration, critical thinking, and academic achievement. *Computers & Education*, 68, 334-344.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2013.05.023
- Yıldız, E., Şimşek, Ü., & Araz, H. (2016). The effect of the educational game method on academic achievement and motivation towards science learning in teaching the circulatory system. Mustafa Kemal University, *Institute of Social Sciences*, 13(36), 20–32.
- Yildiz, Y. (2022). Ethics in education and the ethical dimensions of the teaching profession. ScienceRise, (4), 38-45.
- Yılmaz İnce, E., & Sancak, M. E. (2022). Educational Computer Game for Earthquake. Journal of Learning and Teaching in Digital Age, 7(1), 99-107. https://doi.org/10.53850/joltida.1000528
- Yu, Z., Gao, M., & Wang, L. (2021). The Effect of Educational Games on Learning Outcomes, Student Motivation, Engagement and Satisfaction. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 59(3), 522-546. https://doi.org/10.1177/0735633120969214
- Zabelina, D. L., & Robinson, M. D. (2010). Child's play: Facilitating the originality of creative output by a priming manipulation. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts,* 4(1), 57–65.
- Zhang, Z., Muktar, P., Wijaya Ong, C.I., Lam, Y., & Fung, F.M. (2020). CheMakers: Playing a Collaborative Board Game to Understand Organic Chemistry. *Journal of Chemical Education*.
- Zhonggen, Y. (2019). A meta-analysis of the use of serious games in education over a decade. *International Journal of Computer Games Technology*, 2019.
- Zhonggen, Y. (2019). A Meta-Analysis of Use of Serious Games in Education over a Decade. International Journal of Computer Games Technology, 2019(3). https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/4797032

| Author Information                               |                                       |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Asli Bahar Ivgin                                 | Hakan Akcay                           |  |  |
| b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0580-6065          | bttps://orcid.org/0000-0003-0307-661X |  |  |
| Yildiz Technical University                      | Bogazici University                   |  |  |
| Davutpasa Campus, Faculty of Education, Istanbul | Istanbul                              |  |  |
| Turkiye  | Turkiye                               |  |  |
| Contact e-mail: aslicelikb@gmail.com             |                                       |  |  |